



The National Planning Authority

REVISED LOCAL GOVERNMENT DEVELOPMENT PLANNING GUIDELINES

Fourth Draft

Date: 26th July, 2019

Table of Contents

CHA	PTER ONE	3
1.0	INTRODUCTION	3
1.1	Background	3
1.2	Purpose and Objectives of the Local Government Development Planning Guide (LGDPG)	4
1.3	Users of the Local Government Development Planning Guide	5
1.4	Structure of the Local Government Development Planning Guide	7
CHA	PTER TWO	8
2.0 Ll	EGAL, POLICY AND INSTITUTIONAL FRAMEWORK AND CONTEXT	
UND	ERPINNING LGDP PROCESS	8
2.1	Legal and Policy Framework and Context	8
2.2.	The National Planning Policy Frameworks and their Implications for Local Government planning	10
2.3		
	Uganda	14
CHA	PTER THREE	16
3.0 L	GDP PROCESS STRUCTURING AND FORMULATION	16
3.1	Consultations and Data Collection	16
3.2	Actual Plan Formulation	17
3.3	Guidance of the LGDP Process	28
3.4	Integration of Local Government Development Priorities	33
3.5	Approval of Respective Local Government Development Plans	43
3.6	Plan Submission	44
CHA	PTER FOUR	46
4.0 T	HE LOCAL GOVERNMENT DEVELOPMENT PLANNING CYCLE AND	
ROL	ES OF STAKEHOLDERS	46
4.1	The 5-year Local Government Development Planning Cycle	46
4.2	Steps, Methodology, Actors and Timing of the LGDP Formulation Process	47
4.3	Stakeholders in the LGDP Process and Their Respective Roles and Responsibilitie 55	S
		• -
	PTER FIVE	64
	GDP MONITORING AND EVALUATION STRATEGY	64
5.1	Purpose of LGDP Monitoring and Evaluation Strategy	64
5.2		64 67
5.3	Scope of LGDP Monitoring and Evaluation Strategy	65

5.4 Elements of the LGDP M&E Strategy

CHAPTER SIX	70
6.0 LGDP COMMUNICATION AND FEEDBACK STRA	TEGY 70
6.1 The Importance of an effective Communication and feed	back strategy in the LGDP
Framework	70
6.2 Values and Principles Guiding the LGDP Communication a	and Feedback
Arrangements	70
6.3 Elements of the Communication Strategy	71
6.4 Grassroots Communication	71

ANNEXES

Appendix 1: STRUCTURE OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT DEVELOPMENT PLANS FOR HLGS 72

72

CHAPTER ONE 1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

Development Plans are a legal requirement for all higher and lower local governments in Uganda. Section 35 of the Local Government Act (Cap 243) requires district councils to prepare comprehensive and integrated development plans incorporating plans of lower local governments. The same section also obliges lower local governments to prepare development plans incorporating plans of lower local councils in their respective areas of jurisdiction. Development plans therefore form a basic tool for implementation of decentralized development programs and service by government and non-government actors in local governments. In addition, local government plans are key instruments that support the national development management processes in Uganda. As required by article 190 of the Constitution of the Republic of Uganda 1995, local government development plans are supposed to inform the National Development Plan (NDP). Local government development plans are the main modality through which strategies and activities of the NDP are cascaded to the levels where citizens can participate and benefit from them.

In 2013, Government of Uganda launched a long-term development vision, the Uganda Vision 2040, which is an all-encompassing perspective plan. The theme of this vision is to have a "Trans- formed Ugandan society from a peasant to a modern and prosperous country within 30 years". The Uganda Vision 2040 acts as another guide to any future planning framework in the country including those at the local government levels. For example, the Vision 2040 stipulates that the road to transformation will require careful planning and commitment of resources, and that the human rights-based approach to development will be integrated in the policies, legislation, plans and programs.

A key prerequisite for attaining the Vision theme is that Uganda's planning framework needs to be coordinated and harmonized in order to create the necessary critical mass. The Vision there- fore obliges all development actors in the country to follow a common strategic direction in their planning in order to achieve faster socio-economic transformation₁. In essence, all Ministries, Departments and Agencies (MDAs) of government, whether autonomous or semi-autonomous, are required to realign their development priorities with the Vision 2040's strategic direction.

However, a number of challenges have limited full attainment of the above-mentioned objectives and contributions of the local government development planning framework in Uganda to national development and local service delivery. Some of these challenges include; adapting Local Government planning to the new planning

paradigm; striking a balance between bottom-up planning objective and top-down influences expected out of the Vision 2040 and the NDP frameworks; re-orienting Local Government from being mere service delivery units to wealth creating entities; ensuring effective civic participation in the planning process through effective engagement with civic groups (such as social enterprises, community-based associations/ cooperatives, traders and other private sector groups, etc) as well as through effective collaboration with NGOs, FBOs, PSOs and Development Partners; and providing for changes in the legal, policy and procedure frameworks such as provisions of the PFMA 2015, annual certification of public expenditure allocations, Gender and equity certification, Program based budgeting, Planning Authority (Development Plans) Regulations, and ensuring harmonization of physical planning with socio-economic planning. These challenges have to be addressed if planning is to yield effective results.

This Local Government Development Planning Guide (LGDPG) is produced by the National Planning Authority (NPA) to guide the process of preparing local government development plans in order to operationalise the local government development planning functions, roles and man- dates as enshrined in the 1995 constitution of the republic of Uganda. Like all public sector planning processes in Uganda, production of local government development plans is supposed to be a political as well as a technical process. It elicits from the active roles of elected local government councils, their executive and sub-committees as well as from technical departments, Planning Committees and technocrats at different levels of local government. This guide is therefore intended to be used by a wide audience.

1.2 Purpose and Objectives of the Local Government Development Planning Guide (LGDPG)

The aim of these guidelines is to provide a framework for the formulation of harmonized decentralized plans within local governments in Uganda. On the one hand, the guidelines are supposed to ensure that decentralized development plans are well linked to the overall National development strategic direction as well as to the sector development goals (Vertical Harmony). On the other hand, the guidelines are also supposed to ensure effective community participation as well as intra-local government and inter-agency synergies and linkages in the local government planning framework (the horizontal harmony). In this case, horizontal harmony is to ensure that there is inter-sectoral and inter- agency coordination in the selection and programming of development activities within the district i.e. coordination amongst different departments within a local government as well as between the local government departments and Civil Society Organisations (CSOs) Faith-based Organisations (FBOs), Community Based Organizations (CBOs), Development Partners (DPs), and

Private Sector Organisations (PSOs), etc. In addition, the guidelines also aim at facilitating planning for inter-jurisdictional programs and services (i.e. programs and services between two or more Local Governments).

Specifically, the Guidelines are designed to serve the following objectives and purposes:

- i. Provide a simple but integrated framework_{2 for} development of local government plans and incorporation of these plans in sector and national development plans to ensure that local government plans support achievement of aspirations of the Uganda Vision 2040 as well as those of the NDP.
- ii. Clarify the institutional framework governing decentralized planning in Uganda and spell out the key stakeholders that should take part in the decentralized planning framework and their roles
- iii.Provide appropriate linkage and harmony between national and local budgeting instruments
- iv. Provide for enhanced integration of participatory planning practices in local government development planning processes
- v. Provide for effective inclusion of Local economic development, localization of SDGs, and integration of Refugee issues in local government development plans
- vi.Provide for the development of a Monitoring and Evaluation strategy for the local government plans that contributes to both the local and national government M&E requirement without duplicating efforts.
- vii.

Provide a systematic approach on how to integrate crosscutting issues into local government development planning processes and frameworks.

viii.

To address emerging issues from the National Planning Authority (Development Plans) Regulations, 2018 which seeks to strengthen coordination and harmonization of the development planning function in the country

ix. Provide the structure for the Local Government development plans

- x. Provide a basis for budgeting and financing of the Local Government planning process to ensure its timely execution
- xi. Provide for a communication and feedback strategy

1.3 Users of the Local Government Development Planning Guide

This guide is intended to facilitate a harmonised development planning process in local governments in Uganda where i) Local Government development aspirations are adequately coordinated towards a common strategic development direction guided by the Uganda Vision 2040 and the NDPs; and ii) Local Government development needs and opportunities, including those of lower local councils, are adequately integrated in sector and national development plans. It is also to facilitate all development actors in a local government (CSOs, PSO, and communities) to effectively participate and contribute to achievement of a common strategic development direction for that local government. For this reason, the guide has been called the "Local Government Development Planning Guide – LGDPG"

The main users of the LGDP Guide will be local government institutions as well as other development actors operating in local governments. The following are the specific categories of the users of this guide:

- i. Higher and lower Councils which are the paramount leadership institutions in LGs which decide on the manner in which public sector resources are allocated. The district council is the Planning Authority in the district.
- ii. The District Technical Planning Committee (DTPC) that is responsible for coordination and development planning functions in LGs
- iii. Lower Local Government Technical Planning Committees
- iv. Local Government planning forum
- v. Civil society organisations, faith based organisations, and community based organisations
- vi. Private sector organisations and enterprises that would wish to integrate their activities within local government development plans
- vii. Citizens that are required to participate in the primary stages of the planning process to ensure that their needs form the basic foundation for development planning in local governments
- viii. The National Planning Authority that is responsible for overall guidance of the LG planning process
- ix. Ministry of Finance, Planning and Economic Development that will need to

corroborate local government plans with local and, to national budgets

- x. Ministry of Local Government that is responsible for offering administrative support and technical advice
- xi. Local Government Finance Commission that is responsible for providing advice on financing of Local Governments
- xii. Sector ministries that are responsible for receiving and integrating district sector priorities in their sector development plans
- xiii. Local Government Associations that advocate for strengthening Local Governments to perform their functions
- xiv. Other national Ministries, Departments and Agencies (MDAs) that will use the guide to execute their planning roles and responsibilities in local governments as stipulated in the Comprehensive National Development Planning Framework (CNDPF)

1.4 Structure of the Local Government Development Planning Guide

The LGDPG is organized in six chapters including this introduction which is Chapter One covering the background and objectives of the guide, a description of the users of the LGDPG and the structure of the guide.

Chapter Two outlines the legal and policy frameworks underpinning the introduction of the local government development planning framework in Uganda as well as the linkage with the national planning framework that support the introduction of the LGDP in local governments in the country.

Chapter Three covers the LGDP formulation process including a specification of how and what to do at each stage of the planning process. The stages include consultations and data collection; and actual plan formulation (the situation analysis and needs/ opportunities identification, definition of broad strategic direction, description of development outcomes, goals, strategies and interventions, a description of the implementation and coordination framework of the LGDP, LGDP guidance and integration) and Plan approval and submission;

Chapter Four covers the planning cycle and roles of key stakeholders in the Local Government planning framework.

Chapters Five and Six cover the LGDP monitoring and evaluation strategy, and the communication and feedback strategy respectively. Chapter Five gives a detailed description of M&E strategies, values and principles plus clarifying the roles, responsibilities and schedules for LGDP monitoring and evaluation arrangements. Chapter Six, elaborates the values and principles guiding the LGDP communication

and feedback arrangements as well as a statement of the LGDP monitoring and evaluation follow-up and feedback arrangements.

CHAPTER TWO -2.0-LEGAL, POLICY AND INSTITUTIONAL FRAMEWORK-AND CONTEXT UNDERPINNING LGDP PROCESS

2.1 Legal and Policy Framework and Context

The following legal and policy provisions underpin the Local Government Development Planning framework introduced under this guide.

- i. The Constitution of Uganda, 1995, in its national objectives and directive principles of state policy provides for the state to be guided by the principle of decentralization and devolution of governmental functions and powers to the people at appropriate levels where they can best manage and direct their affairs. This clause envisions SSout participation and empowerment of communities including through local government planning.
- ii. Article 125 of the Constitution of the Republic of Uganda (1995) and the subsequent Act of Parliament (Act No. 15 of 2002) created the National Planning Authority (NPA) whose primary function is to produce comprehensive and integrated development plans for the country elaborated in terms of the perspective vision and the long-term and medium-term plans.
- iii. The NPA Act further provides a mandate to support local capacity development for national planning and, in particular, to provide support and guidance to national and local bodies responsible for the decentralized planning process (NPA Act, section 7(2) (d)). It also mandates the Authority to design and implement programs to develop planning capacity in Local Governments (NPA Act, section 7 (2) (i)); and to monitor the performance of the decentralized system of development planning.
- iv. The National Planning Authority (Development Plans) Regulations, 2018 further operationalizes the NPA Act by providing for the preparation and development of the national development plan and the development plans of ministries, departments and agencies of government and plans of sectors and local governments.
 - v. Article 176, (2b) of the Constitution of the Republic of Uganda (1995 as amended) adopts decentralization as the principle applying to all levels of local government and, in particular, from higher to lower local government units to ensure peoples' participation and democratic control in decision making.

vi.Article 190 of the Constitution of the Republic of Uganda (1995) mandates and obliges District Councils to prepare comprehensive and integrated development plans incorporating plans of LLGs for submission to the National Planning Authority

vii.

The Local Government Act, Cap 243 (Section 35 (1)) designates Districts Councils as the planning authorities for the districts. The Act mandates the district technical planning committees to coordinate and integrate all the sectoral plans of lower level Local Governments for presentation to the district council (Section 36 (2))

viii.

The Local Government Act, Cap 234 (Sections 96 &97) mandates and obliges line ministries to offer policy and technical guidance and advice, support supervision, advise on projects involving direct relations with local governments, and establishment of minimum national standards of service delivery in the sectors under their jurisdiction.

- ix. Article 155 (1) of the Constitution provides that the President shall cause to be prepared, submitted and laid before Parliament, estimates of revenue and expenditure for each financial year.
- x. The Public Finance Management Act, 2015 (Sections 13(6) requires that the Annual Budget shall be consistent with the NDP, the Charter of Fiscal Responsibility and the Budget Framework Paper.
- xi.Article 193 (3) of the Constitution mandates local governments to use conditional grants to finance programs agreed upon between the Government and Local Governments and shall be expended only for the purpose for which it was made and in accordance to the conditions agreed upon.
- xii. Chapter 4 of the constitution of Uganda together with regional and international Human rights instruments to which Uganda is a state party.
- xiii. The physical planning Act, 2010 (section 6(1)) calls for integration of physical planning with social and economic planning at the national and local levels.
- xiv. Article 38 of the Constitution of the Republic of Uganda (1995) provides for the

right to every Uganda citizen to participate in the affairs of government individually or through his or her representative.

- xv. The 1995 National Population Policy (NPP) highlights the need to promote the integration of population factors in development planning at the national (ministries, institutions) and lower level (DPUs and DPTCs) (section 98(i), and 99 (a) of the policy)
- xvi. The LED Policy (2014) provides for Local Governments to understand their local economies and their competitive advantages and develop strategies integrating private sector support, job creation and household income generation in LG development plans. The policy also requires LGs to conduct local economic business assessment to form a basis for resource and local business mapping strategies, for attracting business development services to the localities and for monitoring and evaluation economic growth in the communities.
- xvii. The National NGO policy 2010 (Section 5.3) requires the "CAO to provide the NGO community in the district with guidelines to enable the NGOs to participate effectively and in a coordinated manner in the district planning and program implementation".
- xviii. Similarly, the National NGO policy 2010 (Section 5.3) requires that "all NGOs and CBOs engaged in development activities within a district shall share their program activity plans and budgets with the Local Government Authority for purposes of harmonization of such program activities into the broader sectoral or area development plans and resource estimates".

2.2. The National Planning Policy Frameworks and their Implications for Local Government planning

2.2.1 The Comprehensive National Development Planning Framework (CNDPF)

In 2007, Government of Uganda adopted the Comprehensive National Development Planning Framework (CNDPF) which outlines the principles and guidelines to be followed in developing national and decentralized long and medium-term development plans in the context or perspective of a shared National Vision. The main Purpose of the CNDPF is to provide a holistic framework for a coherent system of National Development Planning where short term interventions and activities are guided by long term development aspirations and objectives contained in various sets of cascading development plans. The main planning objectives of the CNDPF₃ are:

- i. To put in place an arrangement for development planning with a long-term view of the economy facilitated by perspective vision, long, medium and short-term planning instruments.
- ii. To strengthen the linkages between long, medium- and short-term development planning.
- iii. To synchronize national planning and budgeting processes and cycles.
- iv. To provide an arrangement for harmonizing national level planning with planning at the sector and local government levels.
- v. To outline the procedures and processes followed in preparing and securing approvals for the National Development Plans.
- vi. To define the responsibilities of different players involved in all stages of development planning; and
- vii. To align the timing of the plans at different levels with time bound development objectives

The Comprehensive National Development Planning Framework is operationalized through three planning elements namely:

- 1. The long-term planning framework including the 30-year vision and the 10-year National Development Plan
- 2. The medium-term planning framework including the 5-year National Development Plan that is comprised of Local government and Sectoral Development Plans & strategies;
- 3. The short-term planning framework including annual budgets and work-plans

The relationship between the three planning elements of the Comprehensive National Development Planning Framework is described in Figure 1.

(Figure 1 : final version could not be found)

2.2.2 The 30-year National Vision

National development planning in Uganda is guided by the 30-year National Vision (Uganda Vision 2040) which articulates the country's long-term aspirations and projections about the de- sired future. The Vision provides a long-term focus for national development efforts; defines the direction and strategy towards attaining the agreed long term goals of the nation. Local Government planning therefore must be geared towards the realization of the National Vision.

2.2.3 The 10-year Medium Term National Development Plan

The 10-year National Development Plans are supposed to actualize the aspirations of

the 30- year National Vision. They outline the overall development objectives for the respective decades which are subsequently elaborated in the 5-year medium term National Development Plans.

2.2.4 The 5-Year National and Sector Development Plans

The 5-Year National Development Plans operationalize the 10-year National Development Plan and 30-Year Vision. They set medium term development objectives, strategic direction and priorities for the country and guide the allocation of public resources by all government and non-government development actors.

In addition, there are 5 Year Sector Development Plans through which the 5 Year National Development Plan is delivered. They consist of Sector policies, strategies and development interventions that address sectoral development challenges, potentials and priority investments. Therefore, the 5-year sector development plans have got to be thematically harmonized and their timing will be consistent with the start and end time for the 5- year NDP.

2.2.5 Local Government Development Plans

At Local Government level, the Local Government Act places the primary responsibilities for development planning to the HLGs and LLGs. Currently, the local Government Act calls for development plans to be produced at the district, Municipal, Town council Division and sub county levels of local government. However, by emphasizing the involvement of local administrative units, CSOs, private sector organisations and community members in the local government planning process, the Local Government Act obliges the local government planning process to be a participatory one.

The 1995 Constitution of Uganda stipulates a decentralised local government planning approach where the needs and aspirations of the people are supposed to determine how governmental units at the local level do allocate and use public resources for development and service delivery.

There is a close relationship between Local Government Development Plans and the Sector Development Plans. For example, a very big proportion of the financing of Local government development plans is through conditional grants channeled via sector investment plans (roads, water and Sanitation, Education, Health, Community Services, etc.). Hence, the development priori- ties, policies and strategies in the SDPs exert a big influence on priorities and strategies that can be selected by local government plans.

In addition to the Sector Development Plans, the 5 Year National Development Plans are delivered through the 5 Year Local Government Development Plans. Therefore the Local Government Development Plans must be consistent with the long and medium term national development goals and objectives and strategic direction of the country as stipulated in the 30-year National Vision, the 10-year and the 5-year NDPs. Hence, like SDPs, the 5-year LGDPs have got to be thematically harmonized and their timing must be consistent with the start and end time for the 5- year NDP.

There is a two-way influence between National/Sector planning processes and Local Government planning processes. On the one hand, Local Government plans do originate right from the grass- roots level and should therefore inform the 5-year Sector Policies and development Plans. On the other hand, sectors have got to take care of national strategic development strategies and should therefore communicate to Local Governments the national sector strategies and priorities that need to be adapted by the Local Governments.

Hence, the sequencing of the local government planning process in these planning guidelines is made in such a way that this dual relationship between sector and local government planning cycles is effectively facilitated.

2.2.6 Other Planning Instruments

In order to achieve sustainable development results, Government of Uganda has adopted a number of planning instruments that are aimed at addressing thematic and crosscutting challenges facing the country. The following are some of the thematic and crosscutting planning instruments that carry a strong influence on Local Government development planning.

- The Population and Development (POPDEV) planning instrument
- Gender mainstreaming planning instrument
- Environment mainstreaming planning instrument
- HIV/AIDS mainstreaming planning instrument
- Planning instruments for mainstreaming Human rights
- Planning instruments for integrating/mainstreaming climate change
- National physical planning standards and guidelines 2011

Besides the Local Government Act, these planning policy instruments mandate LGs to make thematic / crosscutting action plans to guide the delivery of corresponding services by each LG (*Population action plans, Physical development plans, Environmental action plans, Nutrition Action plan, etc.*).

In practice, the making of these thematic action plans has provided an opportunity for LGs to have a deeper analysis of the problems inherent in the respective sectors/ subsectors/ cross-cutting areas which stands to inform the LGDP process. In turn, the LG Development Plan is designated as the main modality through which theses thematic plans can be financed. In order to achieve these dual purposes, the LGDP guidelines provide that: i) LGs should develop all the different thematic action plans before the start of the LGDP process (latest by the end of the 4th year of the LDGP cycle) to enable LG Development plans to pick from these thematic plans; and ii) developing these thematic action plans should be adequately supported - both financially and technically - by respective agencies of central government in order to enable LGs to produce quality action plans.

2.3 The Institutional Framework for Local Government Development Planning in Uganda

The key institutions that are involved in the local government development planning function in Uganda include the following:

Local Government institutions and stakeholders:

- i. The District Council which is the District Planning Authority (DPA) that is responsible for coordinating the production of Higher and Lower Local Government plans (LGA/ CNDPF).
- ii. The District Technical Planning Committee (DTPC) that is responsible for cocoordinating and integrating all district sector development priorities and those of Lower Level Local Governments for presentation to the district council (LGA/ CNDPF).
- iii. Lower Local Government Technical Planning Committees that are responsible for coordinating the planning process in their areas of jurisdiction (LGA).
- iv. Civil society organisations, faith based organisations, and community based organisations that are required to participate in all stages of the planning cycle (LGA/ CNDPF).
- v. Private sector organisations and enterprises that are required to participate in all stages of the planning cycle (LGA/ CNDPF).
- vi. Citizens /Communities that are required to participate in initial stages of the planning cycle, plan implementation and oversight (LGA/ CNDPF)
- vii.District, urban and sub-county physical planning committees that have physical planning mandates under the Physical Planning Act, 2010

National level institutions:

- i. The National Planning Authority that is responsible for overall guidance, technical sup- port and mentoring LGs throughout the Local government development planning cycle; offering capacity building to LG players; as well as supporting LGs during plan implementation
- ii. Ministry of Finance, Planning and Economic Development that is responsible for pro- viding financial resources, technical guidance and mentoring on budgeting required to effectively execute LGDPs.
- iii. Ministry of Local Government that is responsible for offering administrative support and technical advice, guidance and mentoring required to effectively execute LGDP processes
- iv. Uganda Bureau of Statistics that is responsible for providing reliable data for planning, technical advice and capacity building in data collection and management.
- v. Sector Ministries, Departments and Agencies that are responsible for receiving and integrating district sector priorities in their sector plans; offer technical advice, guidance and mentoring services required to effectively execute LGDPs; and support LGs in mobilizing finances for implementation of LGDPs.

Figure 2 outlines the conceptual framework for the Local government development planning showing how the above-mentioned institutions should interrelate with each other during the local government planning process.

Figure 2: Conceptual Framework for interaction during the Local Government Development planning process

(Figure 2: *final version could not be found*)

CHAPTER THREE

3.0 LGDP PROCESS STRUCTURING AND FORMULATION

The LGDP process consists of three main stages, namely: i) Consultations and data collection ii) Actual plan formulation iii) Plan approval and submission

3.1 Consultations and Data Collection

Consultations and data collection will take place concurrently at all levels (district, municipal, sub-county/town council/division, parish/ward and village/cell) beginning in <u>the fifth month</u> of the fourth year of the District Development Plan implementation. To facilitate evidence-based planning *(in accordance with Development. Planning Regulations 23)*, LGs will ensure that there is an effective level of village/cell and parish/ ward participation in the consultation and data collection process of the 5-year LG development planning cycle

Consultations and data collection will involve but not limited to the following:

- Dissemination of the information contained in the Planning Call Circular
- Community-based data collection/ inventory taking
- Parish/cell level consolidation of community-based data
- Sub-county/Division level consolidation of parish priorities
- Joint LLG sector performance review attended by HLG sector heads and LLG planning task teams.
- HLG sector performance review
- Discussion of the Local Government key development potentials, opportunities, constraints and challenges
- Identification of key development priorities

Local Governments should collect data on:

- The prevailing physical, social and economic characteristics of a Local Government including inventory of infrastructure and facilities existing in the communities;
- Data concerning Trade, Industry and Local Economic Development such as household income, housing, livelihood, employment, etc. Also, an analysis of how social factors in the different sectors affect / are affected by local economic factors should be carried out (Development. Planning Regulations 24(2-c).
- (For refugee hosting LGs) disaggregated refugee population data for refugee hosting and neighboring LGs. Further analysis of refugee issues should be made

in the POCC sub section of the plan where opportunities and challenges brought about by the influx of refugees to the host LLG and HLG should be considered sector by sector.

- Sector development situations focusing on opportunities and potentials for wealth creation and local economic development by each sector;
- Analysis of opportunities and challenges posed by other sectors or neighboring local governments
- The key stakeholders (private sector, CSO and development partners) situations, opportunities and potentials;
- Data concerning the key Crosscutting issues analysed by each LG sector;
- Any other basic data that is essential in informing the formulation of the LGDP strategic direction or its implementation modalities.
- Representation of all situation data on spatial maps

3.2 Actual Plan Formulation

The following main steps comprise the LGDP formulation phase:

- I. Situation analysis and identification of development needs and opportunities
- II. Definition of broad strategic direction, development outcomes, goals, and strategies of the LGDP
- III. Prioritization of interventions by integrating investment priorities of different levels of planning (, sub-counties/town councils ,municipal councils and other neighboring LGs, sector line ministries, etc.)
- IV. Description of the implementation and coordination Plan of the LGDP.
- V. Elaboration of procedures, roles and responsibilities for LGDP guidance and integration

I Situation Analysis and Identific ation of Developme nt Needs and Opportunities

Situation analysis and identification of development needs and opportunities will always be the opening phase of the LGDP formulation process. The analyses conducted should be a narration of facts/prevailing situations; their implications for development at the various levels of government; and how they inter-relate with cross cutting issues like environment, gender, HIV/AIDS, human rights, food security and nutrition and population issues, etc. In every case, efforts should be made to define issues and problems in terms of magnitude, most affected groups of the population and spatial distribution. Use of a spatial map to present the situations analyzed is essential. The sub-sections below give more details on what might be contained in each of the analyses.

i) Description and analysis of the prevailing physical and socio-economic characteristics and issues concerning physical development of a Local

Government

- ii) Analysis and review of sector development situations focusing on opportunities and potentials for wealth creation by each sector (*For Refugee hosting and neighboring LGs, the opportunities and challenges brought about by refugees to their areas should be analyzed by all sectors as part of the POCC analysis*)
- iii) Local Economy Assessment
- iv) Analysis of crosscutting issues
- v) Analysis of how the situation in the respective sectors affects or is affected by the situation in other sectors in the same LG or by the situation in other LGs
- vi) Analysis of other basic data that is essential in informing the formulation of the LGDP strategic direction or its implementation modalities (these will be informed by the national strategic directions)
- vii) Analysis of key development constraints, potentials and opportunities of a Local Government.

i- Description and analysis of the Physical and Social Economic Characteristics of a Local Government

The physical and social economic characteristics of the Local Government will be comprised, though not necessarily limited to, the following aspects:

- A description of the physical characteristics of a LG covering aspects like the geographical location, size, longitudinal and latitudinal boundaries, local governments bordering the Local Government and a general description of the topography, soils, hydrology, climate and vegetation of the Local Government, etc. A map of the Local Government should be included as an illustrative aide to present the physical description of the LG. An evaluation of the extent to which some of the physical features /characteristics of a LG have been affected by human activity should be made.
- A description of the population profile of a local government, which should include the population size and structures disaggregated by age and gender, and spatial distribution using maps by LG and Administrative Units₄; critical population issues and dynamics (fertility, mortality and migration), population densities; labor force analysis; migration issues analysis; population pyramids and population projections for LG or administrative units (included for illustrative purposes). For Refugee hosting LGs and neighboring LGs, disaggregated refugee population data should be included and analyzed by all sectors as part of the demographic characteristics of a LGPOCC

- A description and analysis of the social economic data of a Local Government outlining issues like the political and administrative structures of the LG; the demographic characteristics; life standards indicators; livelihood patterns; human settlement patterns; productive resources and economic activities of a LG; etc.
- Livelihood analysis which should mainly focus on access to livelihood resources by communities, households and individuals and how the variation in access influences livelihood. In addition, the section could describe the extent to which a local government's production processes such as industrial production or micro and small enterprises as well as other economic activities like agriculture, livestock, and fisheries and other commercial activities, do support livelihood patterns for the population at household level and by administrative units.
- Finally, the review of the physical and social economic characteristics of the Local Government should be climaxed by producing spatial maps indicative of the different physical, administrative, social and economic features. The maps shall supplement the narrative presented in this section.

ii- Review and Analysis of Sector Development Situations

Sectors consist of units of the economy that provide social services and administrative functions that are required to maintain a healthy and quality population in a Local Government. The sectors relevant to the local government development planning process include the traditional service sectors of government (health, education, water and sanitation, etc.) as well other nontraditional sectors like the CSO and private sectors, or any other sector that might be peculiar to a specific Local Government.

To maintain consistence with the National Development Plan, the sectors adopted by LGs for the planning purposes should be similar to those of the NDP. The Planning Call Circular from the NPA will include a guide to Local Governments on the line up of sectors that should be adopted₅.

Review and analysis of sector situations should focus on, though not necessarily restricted to the following:

- A general description and assessment of the sector and its subsectors including a statement of the importance of the sector in the LG economy;
- Basic data and statistics relevant to planning requirements in the sector

- A statement of basic national policy standards and conditions applicable to each sector/ subsector (Where applicable reflect national sector standards in a box) in view of the NDP and the Uganda Vision 2040
- A comparison of the LG performance on each sector / subsector indicators in relation to national standards.
- Outline of main Potentials, Opportunities, Constraints and Challenges (POCC) for each sector/subsector- Basic information/ statistics regarding recent development interventions promoting the respective sector/ subsector in the LG (including trends extending at least five years back) and their physical performance results.
- Budgetary and non-budgetary allocations of the Sector for the previous five years period including physical results achieved should be an essential component of this analysis.
- A statement of any lessons learnt from previous sector interventions in the LG which may have implications for implementation of the next development plan
- Analysis of the inventories, capacities, potentials, opportunities, and challenges facing the private and CSO sectors in the LG.
- Finally, the review of the sector development situation of a Local Government should draw out the main development implications arising out of the scenarios presented by the data and analyses made in this step of the planning process.

iii- Local Economy Assessment

Local Economy Assessment covering a broad range of factors that impact, both positively and negatively, on business in their area and the economic well-being of individuals and communities including:

- i) Business and enterprise Structure of local economy incorporating gender dimensions;
- ii) Mapping and documentation of natural resource endowments and assets for LGs;
- iii) Labor market and Welfare (including gender perspectives);
- iv) Estimation of household Income levels; and
- v) Assessment of Economic inclusion including identification of areas facing high levels of deprivation and economic exclusion as well as key underlying economic and social barriers to economic participation, etc.

(For more details refer to LED Guidelines).

iv- Review and Analysis of the State of Crosscutting Issues (requires more discusions)

The Comprehensive National Development Planning Framework in Uganda identifies a number of development issues whose effects and influences extend beyond one sector. These are referred to as crosscutting issues. They are issues whose development impacts reach beyond one sector / field. The CNDPF recognizes that it is important for all development actors to address crosscut- ting issues as a strategy of ensuring higher effectiveness of development policies and programs. For that reason, the national development planning framework requires that cross-cutting issues should be adequately integrated into national and sub-national plans and be addressed in all political and technical discourse on development. Therefore, Local Governments will carry out deep analysis of the cross-cutting issues (as identified in the NDP) that need to be integrated in Local Government development plans. The depth of analysis to be made by LGs on each of the cross- cutting issues should depend on the significance of the issue to the LG's development situations.

The situation review and analysis of cross-cutting issues should focus, though not necessarily limited, on the following:

- A general description of each of the crosscutting issue including a statement of relevance/ importance of the issue in the LG economy;
- A statement of basic national standards and conditions applicable to the crosscutting issue (Where applicable reflect national positions with regard to the crosscutting issue in a box). Basic information/ statistics regarding recent development interventions promoting the respective crosscutting issue in the LG (including trends extending at least five years back) and their performance results.
- Sector budgetary and non-budgetary allocations on the crosscutting issue for the previous five years should be an essential component of this section.
- A comparison of the LG performance on each crosscutting issue's indicators in relation to national standards
- Outline of main Opportunities, Constraints and Challenges for each crosscutting issue vii. A statement of any lessons learnt from previous interventions on the respective crosscut- ting issue in the LG which may have implications for similar or related interventions in the next development plan. The review of the crosscutting issues should be climaxed by highlighting the main development implications arising out of the scenarios presented by the data and analyses made in this step.

It should be noted that some of the government agencies responsible for cross-cutting issues may provide separate tools (in line with this guide) and in consultation with NPA for integrating respective cross-cutting issues in Local Government Development Plans. These tools may highlight additional information that is important in the analysis of these crosscutting issues. LGs should ensure that they reflect this additional information prescribed by the respective agencies, but taking care not to make the section too big.

v- Analysis of Other Data Informing the LGDP Process

This section will involve analysis of the situation regarding other issues that carry a significant influence on the development process of a LG. The issues analysed in this section will be those that are not covered in any of the earlier sections of the LGDP (sector and crosscutting issues analyses). This section will mostly cover development issues that are peculiar to a particular local government. For example, a Local Government may have other peculiar issues such as significant refugee or migration issues, etc. that will need analysis in order to make adequate provisions for these issues in the development plan.

Analysis of other development issues should focus, though not necessarily limited, on the following:

- A general description of the issues including a statement of its importance in the LG economy;
- Basic information/ statistics regarding recent development interventions addressing the respective issue in the LG (including trends extending at least five years back) and their performance results.
- Budgetary and non-budgetary allocations on the issue for the previous five years should be an essential component of this section.
- Outline of main Opportunities, Constraints and Challenges for the issue
- A statement of any lessons learnt from previous interventions addressing the issues in question in the LG and the implications these lessons may have on future development plans of the LG

vi- Identification and Analysis of Major Development Issues, Potentials, Opportunities, Constraints and Challenges

A final stage of the situation analysis will involve a diagnosis and ranking of the summary development issues as well as LG's potentials, opportunities, constraints and challenges coming out of the various situation analyses made. These will be used as a basis for determining the strategic direction of the LGDP.

The process of identifying, analyzing and ranking the broad development issues, potentials, opportunities, constraints and challenges of a LG will be initiated as a desk-based analysis using the different data and statistics given by the different situation analyses and consultations. In addition, the LLG and HLG planning forums_{6 will}

brainstorm over the broad development issues, potentials, opportunities, constraints and challenges to produce a final list of these issues. The process will involve the following steps:

- Listing of all broad development issues, in a LG by participants of the HLG planning forum. The planning forum will brainstorm over all the issues raised to arrive at a maximum of ten most significant ones
- Analysis of the cause- effect relationships between the identified issues in order to determine their ranking. This step will produce a ranked list of the broad development issues of the LG. An inter-relationship diagram technique may be used to accomplish this analysis scientifically
- Conducting a general analysis of the main development potentials₇, opportunities and constraints (POCC) facing a Local Government.

II- Definition of Broad Strategic Direction of the LGDP

Elaboration of the strategic direction of the LGDP will follow the situation analysis phase. This phase will involve:

- i) Adaptation of the broad national strategic direction and the crosscutting issues given in the NDP (as articulated in the Planning call circular) to the LG context
- ii) Focus/priority Areas, Results and targets
- iii) A description of the broad and sector –specific development outcomes, goals, outputs and strategies of the LGDP

i) Adaptation of the Broad National Strategic Direction and Crosscutting Issues to the LG Context

The broad national development strategic direction and the critical crosscutting issues will be given in the National Development Plan. They will therefore be uniform for all LGs. However, the Plan Call Circular issued by the NPA will articulate and highlight those which must be emphasized by LGs especially in the case of crosscutting issues.

Upon receipt of the broad national development strategic directions and crosscutting issues:

• HLGs will take the responsibility to communicate the national development strategic direction to all LLGs, CSOs, and private sector and other development partners in the area of jurisdiction of a HLG. LLGs will identify specific measures which they will use to adapt the broad national development strategic

direction to their context;

• HLGs and LLGs will adapt and formulate their specific strategic development directions in close reference to the broad national development strategic direction.

How to Do Adaptation of National Strategic Directions and Crosscutting Issues.

The concept of Adaptation as used in this guide denotes the process of showing how a Local Government development plan will address the key strategic directions and the critical crosscutting issues set in the NDP as outlined in the Plan call circular. This may involve i) analysing the relevance of the different strategic directions to the local Government's context; ii declaring which of the strategic directions the LG intends to prioritize and the reasons why; and pointing out the critical investment areas upon which a local government will put emphasis per each strategic direction and why. This should take, at most 3-4 paragraphs.

For Example: A Local government should declare that.... the local government development plan will address all the (five) key objectives constituting the NDP strategic direction namely....... However out of these objectives, the (district) will put more emphasis on..... (E.g. increasing sustainable production, productivity and value addition in key growth opportunities because this relates to the biggest economic sector in the district and will therefore cause maximum multiplier development benefits. Second priority will go to human capital development with a focus on enhancing youth skills and employability......to address the problem of high unemployment among the youths.

After the National strategic direction, a local government will also give a short paragraph or two on how it will address the main crosscutting issues as determined by the National Development Plan. The same perspective of analysis is followed.

Definition of Focus/priority Areas, Results and targets

This section shall describe the high results (e.g. /...) that will be associated with different interventions of the Plan. This information will be presented in the following format.

Tuble . Tormai of Key Kesulis and largels						
Description of Results	Indicator	Baseline data possibly drawn from LG Situation Analysis	Plan Target (Year 5)			
	Description of	Description of Indicator	Description of Indicator Baseline data Results from LG Situation			

Table : Format of Key Results and targets

Guidance on Prioritization

It is technically relevant to guide LGs on the process of prioritization by emphasizing some of the points they should consider in choosing their investment priorities. This is intended for maximizing compliance with national strategic directions and sector priorities. It is also to guide communities, parishes and LLGs to ensure that they select priorities based on some scientific and evidence-based criteria to avoid producing unrealistic wish lists. Use of standard criteria in prioritization will also ensure that priorities identified at the grassroots level have equal chances of being funded.

Prioritization of LG interventions will take into consideration, though not limited to, the following standard criteria:

- i. Consistence with national strategic directions
- ii. Consistence with National sector goals and strategies
- iii. Consistence with HLG/ LLG development plans
- iv. Current condition of the problem the intervention is targeting to address in relation to national service standards
- v. Number of people potentially benefiting from the intervention
- vi. Additional multiplier benefits
- vii. Availability of alternative solutions
- viii. Availability of budget for operation and maintenance
- ix. Potential / anticipated risks associated with the investment
- x. Urgency/ emergency rating
- xi. Any other criteria that are locally relevant

The tools for community, parish, and sub county involvement in planning process will stipulate which of these criteria should be standard for all LGs. Local governments will be free to add other criteria but it is advisable that the number of criteria should not be more than ten.

At the start of the planning process and in consultation with the sectors, the DPUs/ MPUs will produce a standard list of criteria that will be followed by district/ municipal sectors and committees in doing their prioritization. This list will be approved by DTPC/MTPC and DEC/ MEC before it can be used. A copy of the Prioritization criteria will also be given to members of the DLC/ MLC

IV- Description of the Implementation and Coordination Plan of the LGDP

A description of the LGDP implementation and coordination plan will follow the LGDP strategic direction as approved by the Executive Committee. This phase will involve:

- i) A description of pre-requisites for successful LGDP implementation
- ii) A description of main LGDP implementation modalities and the roles of the different stakeholders
- iii) Defining the LGDP financing Framework
- iv) A specification of LGDP Cost Implementation Matrix
- v) A description of the linkages between LGDP, Annual Work plan and Annual Budgets (of central government, LGs and other key Development actors)

i) Description of Pre-Requisites for Successful LGDP Implementation

Pre-requisites for LGDP implementation refer to factors conducive or the necessary good conditions that are essential for bringing about successful implementation of LGDP strategies and interventions. These may take diverse forms including policy, managerial, technical, financial, and behavioral/attitude factors.

In describing the basic pre-requisites for LGDP implementation, LGs will:

- Outline the basic conditions that will be vital in bringing about successful implementation of LGDP (specific not generic)
- Describe the strategies for bringing about these conditions

V- Description of the LGDP implementation and Coordination modalities

In describing the implementation and coordination modalities of the LGDPs, LGs will:

- Make a general description of the main methods/ approaches (e.g. participatory, government-led, private sector-led, public-private partnership, etc.) which will be employed to have the different LGDP strategies and interventions implemented
- State the main institutions that will play key roles in implementing and coordinating LGDP and how they will relate
- State the different roles that will be played by different actors (Roles of the State, the Private sector, Civil Society and development partners, etc.) in LGDP implementation
- State the strategies that will be used to ensure effective coordination of LGDP implementation

• Include any other aspects that might be relevant in guiding plan implementation and co- ordination

iii) Defining the LGDP Financing Framework

A local government development plan will have an elaborate resource mobilization and financing strategy. In this regard, Local Governments will therefore need to define and specify the strategies through which development resources to finance all the LGDP activities identified will be mobilized and managed.

In defining the LGDP Financing Framework, LGs will:

- Identify the main sources of revenue for financing LGDP including existing and potential development partners
- State the strategies for raising the required resources for funding the LGDP
- State roles, responsibilities and conditions of development partners in financing LGDP including a specification of whether the funding will be budget or off-budget
- State strategic actions that will be taken by the LG in mobilizing development partners to finance LGDP activities
- State strategies for ensuring efficiency in resource use
- Specify any other aspect relevant to LGDP financing; including innovative financing methods

The following framework is recommended for presenting the financing framework;

Formal of LGDP Financing Framework							
Summary	Total	Total	Total	Total	Total	Total	(%)
of Sources	Contributio	Contributio	Contributio	Contributio	Contributio	Contributio	Share
of	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns - (2) +	by
Financing	FY2020/21	FY2021/22	FY2022/23	FY2023/24	FY2024/25	(3) + (4)	source
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	+(5)+(6)+	of
						(7)	financi
							ng
						(7)	
Central							
Governme							
nt							
Transfers							
Local							
Revenue							
Developm							
ent Partner							
Private							
Sector							
Civil							
Society							
TOTAL							

Format of LGDP Financing Framework

iv) Drawing a LGDP Costing and Cost Implementation Matrix

In the end, Local governments will need to develop a Cost Implementation Matrix using a uniform / standard template. A Cost Implementation Matrix is a costed interventions schedule that relates planned objectives, strategies and interventions with estimated costs and also specifies targeted outputs and the responsibility centre for each of the planned intervention.

v) A description of the linkage between LGDP and the annual budgets

In order to describe clearly the relationship between the annual budgets of Local and Central Governments, as well as those of other key development partners, local governments will need to include in the LGDP a short narration on:

- How the LGDP will be operationalised through annual budgets of central and local governments
- The potential and possibilities of off-budget financing of LGDP by development partners (including CSOs) and the strategies to be used in coordinating off-budget financing of LGDP

It is essential that the 5 Year LG development plans reflect a clear strategy on how they will relate with the annual work plan and annual budgets of Local and Central Governments, as well as budgets of other key development partners. In this respect, LGDP will include annualized work plans which, in principle, shall form the basis for the LG annual development budgets.

The Annualized Work Plan (AWP) will provide detailed activity planning and try to forecast what will be accomplished during each year of the LGDP. Structurally, the AWP will contain the LGDP development outputs per sector/subsector; the activities to be carried out towards achievement of the expected outputs; the time frame for undertaking the planned activities; those responsible for carrying out the activities; and the financial resources projected to be provided for each activity.

Appendix 5 presents the format of the annualized work plan.

3.3 Guidance of the LGDP Process

LGDP is a key element of the Comprehensive National Development Planning Framework₈ (see Fig.1, section 2.2.1), therefore adequate provisions have to be in-built within the LGDP formulation procedures to ensure appropriate guidance by national

and others agencies of government.

Guidance of the LGDP process will be at different levels including:

- a. Guidance of HLGs planning processes by the National Planning Authority
- b. Guidance of HLGs planning processes by Sector line ministries
- c. Guidance of HLGs planning processes by Cross-cutting agencies
- d. Guidance of Municipal and LLGs' planning processes by HLGs
- e. Guidance of Division LGs' planning processes by Municipal Local Governments
- f. Guidance of Parish and community level planning processes by LLGs
- g. Guidance of Wards and community level planning processes by the Division LGs

a. Guidance of LG Planning Process by the NPA

It is the overall responsibility of the National Planning Authority to ensure that an effective LGDP process is designed, operationalised and sustained. This role puts the NPA at the centre of guiding the LGDP process.

NPA guidance to the LGDP process will be channeled via the following mechanisms:

- i. Production and regular review/ update of the LGDP planning guide
- ii. Training of LG development planning actors in the respective skills required to undertake the tasks under the LGDP planning cycle
- iii. Developing and circulating the planning call circular that will spark the start of the LGDP planning process. Offer technical guidance and mentoring to LGs during the LG development planning process
- iv. Providing available data and statistics from national sources that will support LGs in the planning process
- v. Receiving HLG submissions for LG priorities that need to be integrated in the SDPs and NDP and following up these submissions with relevant MDAs.
- vi. Providing Guidance to LGs during LGDP implementation , monitoring and evaluation
- vii. Undertaking any other role that might be necessary to ensure effective guidance of LGs during the planning process.

b. Guidance of the LG Planning Process by Sector Line Ministries

Line ministries' guidance of LG plans will be channeled via the following mechanisms:

- i. Developing and circulating sector priorities that will guide the LG planning process.
- ii. Providing data and statistics from sector sources that will be used by LGs in the planning process
- iii. Offering technical support to the HLGs during the LG planning process
- iv. Receiving HLG submissions for LG priorities that should be incorporated in the SDPs
- v. Advising local governments on the resource envelope available to finance LG development priorities or through sector development plans
- vi. Undertaking any other role that might be necessary to ensure effective guidance of LGs during the planning process

c. Guidance of LG Planning Process by Crosscutting Agencies of Government

Some crosscutting issues are not relevant to all LGs e.g. Refugee. Guidance by national agencies responsible for crosscutting issues is therefore a crucial input into the LG planning process. This guidance will be channeled via the following mechanisms:

- i. Providing guidance to LGs on the policy priorities and action areas regarding respective crosscutting issues as identified in the NDP.
- ii. Providing data and statistics from national sources regarding respective crosscutting issues that will be used by LGs in planning process
- iii. Offering technical support to the HLGs during the LGDP planning process
- iv. Advising local governments on the resource envelope available to finance LGDP relevant crosscutting issues
- v. Undertaking any other role that might be necessary to ensure effective guidance of LGs during the planning process

MDAs responsible for respective crosscutting issues should develop user-friendly technical manuals to guide LGs in mainstreaming. The Planning call circular should highlight the crosscutting issues that have country-wide relevance and those with selective relevance

MDAs responsible for the respective crosscutting issues should undertake capacity building including training and orientation of LG staff in the different aspects of planning and mainstreaming of crosscutting issues as well as other forms of capacity building.

d. Guidance of Municipal and LLG Planning Processes by HLGS

HLGs' guidance of Municipal and LLG plans will be channeled via the following mechanisms:

- i. Training and mentoring of Municipal and LLG actors on the use of the planning guide
- ii. Developing and circulating the planning call circular₉ to Municipal and LLGs that will spark off the LG planning process at that level. The planning call circular will include the key national and district strategic development directions that will guide the LGDP process in Municipal and LLGs
- iii. Supporting municipal and LLGs in mobilizing funding for facilitating the LG planning process (including soliciting non-budgetary support from district-based development partners for specific LGDP process activities and capacity building)
- iv. Providing data and statistics from national and district sources that will help Municipal and LLGs in the LG planning process
- v. Receiving municipal and LLG submissions for priorities that need to be integrated in the HLG development plan. Offering technical support to the municipal and LLG during the LGDP planning process
- vi. Advising municipal and LLGs on the resource envelope open to finance LG development priorities either directly or through sector development plans.
- vii. Providing the format for presenting community and parish level development constraints issues, potentials, opportunities and aspirations to the LLGs
- viii. Undertaking any other role that might be necessary to ensure effective guidance of LGs during the planning process

Additional Measures to enhance more collaboration between Municipal councils and districts

- *i.* The CAO and TC shall establish and operationalise active dialogue and consultative framework between Municipal and district local governments departments during the planning process
- *ii.* Relevant Municipal council sector heads shall be invited to participate in the planning-related interactions and dialogue between the national sector line ministries and Districts

e. Guidance of Division Planning Processes by Municipal Local Governments

Municipal Local Governments have got statutory responsibility to guide management and service delivery processes in all Division Local Governments under their jurisdiction. As such, besides the district, Municipal Local Governments will also be another source of guidance to the division local governments during the LGDP process.

Guidance of Municipal LGs to Divisions will be channeled via the following mechanisms:

- i. Training and mentoring of division actors on use of the LGDP planning guide
- ii. Circulating the planning call circulars to Divisions, which will spark off the LGDP planning cycle at that level
- iii. Supporting Divisions in mobilizing funding for facilitating the LG planning process (including soliciting non-budgetary support from district/municipalbased development partners for specific LGDP process activities and capacity building)
- iv. Providing data and statistics from national, district, and Municipal sources that will help
- v. Divisions during the LG planning process
- vi. Receiving Division submissions for priorities that have to be integrated in the Municipal development plan and those that need to be forwarded to the District
- vii. Offering technical support to the Divisions during the LG planning process
- viii. Advising Divisions on the resource envelope open to finance Division development priori- ties either from the Municipality or from HLGs and other sources
- ix. Undertaking any other role that might be necessary to ensure effective guidance of Divisions during the planning process
- x. Providing the format for presenting community/cell and ward level development constraints issues, potentials, opportunities and aspirations to the LLGs

f. Guidance of Parish/Ward and Community Level Planning Processes by LLGs (Sub counties and Divisions)

LLGs/Divisions will have responsibility to guide and facilitate the involvement of parish/ward and community level actors in the LG planning process. This guidance will be channeled via the following mechanisms:

- i. Designing and circulating planning calendar/ time schedule to be followed in the parish/ward and community planning processes
- ii. Training parish/ward and community level planning facilitators (parish chief/town agent, LC1 personnel, PDC members, CBO representatives, etc.) in the LG planning processes

- iii. Circulating information regarding the national, HLG and LLG development priorities and strategic directions to the parish/ward and community levels actors
- iv. Facilitating community and parish/ward level planning forums by members of the LLG planning task teams to discuss community and parish level development constraints/ is- sues, potentials, opportunities and aspirations (including advising parish/ward planning forums on how to amalgamate and rank community development aspirations)
- v. Undertaking any other role that might be necessary to ensure effective guidance of Parishes and Communities during the planning process

Parish/Ward and Community Level involvement in Planning Processes is to be operationalised through the following:

- i. Village/ cell participation in collection of community- level planning data
- ii. Village/cell participation in providing development priorities for their communities
- Parishes/ Ward participation in data compilation by amalgamating village/ cell data to give parish/ Ward data for informing the planning process at LLG and HLGs
- iv. Parish/Ward participation in prioritization and ranking of village/cell priorities and identifying parish/Ward level priorities for submission to LLG
- v. Villages and parishes will not be required to produce development plans or action plans of their own (because they are not a spending levels of government) but to actively contribute to the LLG and HLG development plans
- vi. Intensive grassroots involvement of communities should come only in the 5-year development planning process and not every year.
- vii. Minimal level of village/cell and parish/ ward participation in the annual LG Budgeting process which shall be done under the framework of the budget cycle as guided by the Budget call circular issued every year by MFPED. Annual consultation is for ensuring evidence based budgeting which is also a measure to enhance chances of implementation of the community priorities in the 5-year development plan.
- viii.Annual community consultations shall feed into the LLG annual budget conference and will therefore focus on both recurrent and development needs of the community.
- ix. The Parish/ward level is to be made the unit of analysis/ focus of all planning data and interventions. Departments at both LLGs and HLGs will be obliged to present parish/ ward -disaggregated data in their sector situation analysis (including spatial maps) wherever applicable. Department will also be required to show the distribution of the sector interventions by parish/ ward where applicable.

3.4 Integration of Local Government Development Priorities

Effective integration of different development initiatives into the LGDP is key to make LGDP more responsive to community needs and policy requirements. Integration of Local Government Development priorities will be at different levels as outlined in Table 1.

Level of Government	Nature of Integration
Sub-county, Town Councils and Division Local Governments	 Integration of Community, parish/Ward, CBO, private sector development aspirations and/or programs into the respective LLG development plan Integration of local physical planning priorities (drawn from local physical development plans) into LLG plans
Municipal Local Government	 Integration of division development priorities in the municipal local government plans either for direct funding by the municipality or for forwarding to HLGs/ National level Integration of Urban physical planning priorities (drawn from urban physical development plans) into municipal development plans
Higher Local Governments	 Integration of municipal and sub county/town council and development priorities in the Higher Local Government development plans either for direct funding by the HLG or for forwarding to Sector ministries or the National Planning Authority Integration of physical planning priorities into HLG development plans
Sector Ministries	Integration of HLG development priorities in the SDPs either for strategic coordination or for direct funding by the sector
National Development Plan	Integration of HLG development priorities into the NDP and s ector Development Plans

Table 1:	Integration Levels for	or Local Government	Development Priorities
10000 10			

The following sub-sections provide the details on how the different level of integration will be executed through the various stages of the LGDP formulation process.

3.4.1 Integration of LLG/Municipal Council Development Priorities in HLG Plans

By law, LLG councils (including Municipal, sub-county, Town, and Division local government councils) are autonomous body corporate entities. The NPA Development Planning Regulation 2018 obliges lower local governments to prepare a comprehensive and integrated development plan and submit to the district council for incorporation in the five-year local government development plan (Regulation 20(2)).

Municipal councils are equally obliged to do so (Regulation 20(3)). So, while LLGs including MCs have legal obligations and responsibilities to produce their own development plans and budgets, Article 190 of the constitution of the Republic of Uganda and the planning regulations oblige the District Local government to integrate development aspirations from LLG councils into the district's comprehensive and integrated development plan.

This Guide provides four entry points for integration of LLG's plans (MCs inclusive) into district development plans. These are:

- a. Districts utilizing LLG specific data (parish/ward level) in sector situation analysis
- b. Districts Integrating LLG unfunded priorities into HLG strategies and interventions (i.e. those LLG mandated functions that require huge resources than what a LLG can raise)
- c. District and Municipal councils reflecting a summary of LLG investment projects (either as a separate or part of appendix 4). MC investment projects should also be included in district development plans.
- d. Districts and Municipal councils reflecting all LLG development interventions on spatial maps (i.e. including those to be funded by LLGs' own resources, those of NGOS and CSOs, and other actors)

Submission of LLG/Municipal Council priorities to be integrated in the HLG plans will be done by the Sub-county chief/Town Clerk latest by the last week of April and last week of March respectively as outlined in section 4.2 (step 11 of Table 5 and step 14 of Table 4). The Town Clerk /sub-county chief shall be obliged to make timely follow-up with the respective HLG before the end of the month of June to secure the position regarding the submitted LLG/Municipal Council priorities and provide feedback to key stakeholders.

3.4.2 Integration of Community / Parish Priorities in LLG Plans

To achieve effective integration of parish priorities in LLG development plans, the Parish chief / Town agent shall undertake responsibility to ensure;

- Timely compilation and amalgamating village/ cell data to give parish/ Ward data for submission to the office of the Sub county / Town clerk/ Assistant Town clerk for the purpose of informing the planning process at LLG. This will be facilitated by and other facilitators with support from CDO
- Timely prioritization and ranking of village/cell priorities for submission to the office of the Sub county / Town clerk/ Assistant Town clerk for integration in the LLG development plans. The same team will be responsible for and identifying parish/Ward level priorities to be added to priorities identified at the community/ cell levels before the parish

prioritization process. This, too, will be facilitated by and other facilitators with support from CDO

• At the LLG level, Parish data and priorities shall be handed over to technical staffs to ensure that they integrate them in their sector plan preparations. Sector technical staff shall ensure that the visibility of the submitting parishes/ wards is sustained in the LLG development plans.

3.4.2 Integration of Division Development Priorities in the Municipal Local Government Plans

By law, municipal divisions are semi-autonomous body corporate entities. They therefore have legal obligations and responsibilities to produce their own development plans and budgets. However, the Local Government act as well as the Development Planning Regulations (Regulation 20(3)) obliges Municipal Councils to integrate Division development programs and services into their plans and budgets.

This Guide provides four entry points for integration of Division plans into Municipal development plans. These are:

- a. Municipal councils utilizing Division specific data (ward level) in sector situation analysis
- b. Municipal councils Integrating Division unfunded priorities into MC strategies and interventions (i.e those Division mandated functions that require huge resources than what a Division can raise)
- c. Municipal councils reflecting a summary of Division investment projects (either as a separate or part of appendix 4). MC investment projects should also be included in district development plans.
- d. Municipal councils reflecting all Division development interventions on spatial maps (i.e. including those to be funded by LLGs' own resources, those of NGOS and CSOs, and other actors)

Submission of division development priorities for integration into the municipal development plans will be done by the Assistant Town Clerk latest by mid April. The Assistant Town Clerk shall be obliged to make timely follow-up with the municipal council before the end of the month of March to secure the position regarding the submitted division priorities and provide feedback to key stakeholders.

3.4.3 Integration of CSO / PSO Development Priorities and Activities in LGDPs

Local Governments will ensure that there is adequate buy in of CSOs/PSOs in the

HLG and LLG planning processes where they operate.

Integration of CSO/PSO development activities in the LGDP will be done via the following criteria:

- i. Integration of CSO/PSO relevant issues in the analysis of HLG and LLG development constraints
- ii. Inclusion of CSO/PSO resources in the LG development resource envelop
- iii. Inclusion of CSO/PSO issues in the HLG development priorities submitted to the sector ministries and NPA for inclusion in SDPs and NDP
- iv. Inclusion of CSO/PSO resources in the LGDP financing matrix
- v. Inclusion of CSO/PSO in the LGDP implementation and M&E modalities

Additional Measures to enhance more collaboration between CSOs DPs, PSOs, and FBOs and districts

- Deliberate actions taken by LGs to mobilize and sensitize CSOs, FBOs PSOs, and other development partners (DPs) in the area to take part in the LG planning process. This should be done prior to the start of the forthcoming planning season.
- The guide also emphasizes that, as a rule thumb, CSOs and DPs should be active members of the, LG Planning Task teams
- (Because CSO and DPs have got specific funding objectives) LGs should endeavor to include the funding objectives of DPs¹ in the LG development Goals, outcomes and strategies. To facilitate this CSOs and DPs should be invited to take part in the planning process right at the start of the process

3.4.4 Integration and linkage of District Local Government Development Priorities with Sector Development Plans

Integration and linkage of LG development plans with the Sector Development Plans (SDPs) will be based on two criteria:

- i. Inclusion of national sector priority investment programs in the respective HLG development plans including the specific targets and resources for the components falling under the respective HLG).
- ii. Integration of specific HLG development activities addressing peculiar needs of LGs into the sector development plans (SDPs). The structure of the SDPs will provide a separate slot for reflecting specific sector development aspirations originating from HLGs. Under this slot, all approved HLG

¹ Which presumably are already agreed upon with LGs or National government authorities

development interventions that will be supported by the sec- tor under sector conditional grants, off-budget finance, or any other funding modality will be reflected.

HLG project/ program proposals to be integrated in the SDPs will be submitted by the Chief Executive of the respective HLG to the respective sector ministries and the NPA latest by end of May as outlined in section 4.2 (step 16). The HLG Chief Executive shall be obliged to make timely follow-up with the respective MDAs and NPA during the month of July to secure an early position on the submitted proposals and provide feedback to key stakeholders.

Additional Measures to enhance more collaboration between District Local Government Plans and Sector Development Plans

- Sector development plans should show the specific needs/ priorities coming from each respective HLG and how the sector has catered for those needs.
- LGs will all display all national Sector development interventions on the spatial maps including those funded off-budget
- **Dealing with changes in national expenditure priorities:** As general principle, LGs should stick to their priorities as approved by their councils. If national government changes its budget priorities, LGs should solicit funding from other sources. - However, there is an exception to this rule. if the change in question is as a result of policy change, faults in the technology, design or approach discovered by central government. In this case LG will also be obliged to adjust their plans through seeking council approval.

3.4.5 Integration of physical planning issues in LG development plans

There will be enhanced integration of physical development issues in Local government development planning. This integration will be achieved through the following provisions;

i. Districts shall produce physical developments as required in the Physical Planning Act 2010 prior to the formulation of the LGDP. From the Physical Planning Plans districts shall pick priority investments for implementation in the 5-year plan (and also advise LLGs on the critical physical planning issues that they should incorporate in their plans). However, in the absence of a

physical development plan, priorities of the Physical Planning sub-sector of the district shall still be integrated in the LGDP.

- ii. The designing of all physical investments included in LGDP should incorporate requirements and standards stipulated in the Physical Planning Act 2010 and the Physical Planning Standards and Guidelines.
- iii. Spatial maps shall be used to show detailed distribution of various attributes of the HLG/ MC plan down to the parish/ ward level situation analysis, interventions, Potentials, opportunities, constraints and challenges, etc.
- iv. HLG departments shall identify sector specific information that will need to be presented on spatial maps such the transport systems, power, water resources, and land uses such as agricultural, protected areas, urban/residential, industrial and commercial areas, etc.
- v. To enhance brevity and visibility qualities of the plan documents LGs shall use spatial maps to supplement / replace narrative sections of their plans
- *vi.* Spatial plans shall be made part of the feedback and communication strategy for both the 5-year plan and the annual budget.

3.4.6 Integration of Refugee issues in LG Development Plans

Though delivery of refugee services is a central government function (and not a decentralised one), refugees carry longer-term development implications for the host local governments and those in close proximity with the host local governments. They present immense pressure to service delivery and basic infrastructure. In addition, refugees bring a host of opportunities to these LGs. Government has adopted a comprehensive refugee response frameworks advocating for a multi-player approach to the refugee question. Some national sector ministries have developed sector Refugee Response Plans which may have implications on sector allocations and priority setting by host LGs.

Hence, all refugee hosting local governments as well as those in close proximity to refugee camps (at least 150 KM radius) are obliged to integrate refugee issues in their plans. The following guidance is given:

i. Integration of refugee issues in LG development plans shall take a mainstreaming approach where all sectors and LLGs should analyze the positive and negative effects of refugees to service delivery and other development aspects in their sectors; and then take care of the identified effects

in designing and allocation of development interventions in their respective sectors.

- ii. On the other hand, LGs will also be concerned with planning for utilization of the opportunities brought about by refugee hosting such local revenue opportunities, increased market for the production and trade sectors, employment opportunities, increased presence of development actors, etc.
- iii. As provided in the planning guidelines integration of refugee issues will start in the situation analysis, where, for example, the demographic aspects included in the LG development plan should cover disaggregated refugee population data.
- iv. Further analysis of refugee issues should be made in the POCC sub section of the plan where opportunities and challenges brought about by the influx of refugees to the host LLG and HLG should be considered sector by sector.
- v. For LG that have refugee response plans in place, the interventions selected in these response plans should be integrated in the LGDP as applicable (i.e. distinguishing between those that need to be mainstreamed in sector interventions from those which can be categorized as below the line activities by development partners and central government)
- vi. Another level of integration of refugee issues in LG plans will be through integrating priorities from the national level response plans

3.4.7 Integrating Local Economic Development

The LG planning function puts much more emphasis on social services delivery roles of LGs than on economic development. LGs' role in Economic development, in general, and the facilitation of the private and corporate sector, in particular, has been marginalized. Also, LGs have not utilized the private sector as a partner in development even though the law prescribes borrowing from the private sector and PPP as other avenues of funding public sector service delivery. This Guide intends to change this scenario by ensuring deliberate integration of Local economic development in LG planning. The following measures have been provided to guide LGs in achieving this purpose.

i. All LG sectors are to undertake LED-related situation analysis by reflecting data relevant to private sector such as household income, housing, livelihood, employment, etc. Also, LG sectors will have to analyze how the social factors/

conditions in their sectors affect / are affected by local economic factors/ conditions

- ii. All sectors will include the private sector in the analysis of the potentials, Opportunities, Constraints and challenges for their respective sectors (*Devt. Planning Regulation* 24(2-c).
- iii. All LGs will include a new sub chapter in the LG production sector development plan known as Trade, Industry and Local Economic Development where stand-alone objectives, strategies, outputs, outcomes, and interventions for promoting LED and the private sector will be presented in the LGDP.
- iv. Also make all sectors provide for how their investments will benefit / address issue concerning local economic development identified in the situation analysis (mainstreaming)
- v. Providing a list of specific LED indicators to be monitored in the M&E framework for respective sectors.

3.4.8 Integration of Sustainable Development Goals

The Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) are a set of 17 trans-formative and universal Goals with a total of 169 targets adopted in 2015 by the United Nations. According the NDP, these goals are supposed to guide national and local development up to 2030. The SDGs are expected to be fully domesticated through sector and local government planning, budgeting and project implementation.

These Guidelines provide for more integration of SDGs in local government plans by:

- Explaining what is meant by localization of SDGs
- Highlighting areas of the various SDGS that local governments could prioritize in the process of localizing SDGs
- Explaining how LGs should integrate basic data concerning localized SDGs in situation analysis of their different sector
- Highlighting the SDG indicators and source of information for the LGDP monitoring and Evaluation framework.

Localization of SDGs Through the LG Plans

(TBD) SDG targets cover most development challenges and respond to the broad range of issues, needs and concerns expressed by major stakeholders. 'Localizing' the Post-2015 agenda often refers to the implementation of the goals at local level by sub-national actors, particularly local governments. Local governments have responsibilities (either directly or shared with central government or in partnership with other stakeholders) for service provision in many areas related to the SDGs. However, 'localizing' the Post-2015 agenda can also refer to the monitoring of progress at local government level (irrespective of whether local governments have competency in that specific area). This can help to assess inequalities within the country, inform better decision-making and resource allocation at all levels as well as enable local communities and civil society organizations to hold their governments to account.

The concept of 'localizing' has implications for the selection of goals and targets. While an emphasis on monitoring inequalities within the country would mean that most outcome-based targets merit disaggregation at the local government level, an emphasis on the implementation of the goals would lead to local governments adopting a sub-set of the goals and targets for which they have a responsibility This would also mean, for this specific sub-set of goals and targets, local governments would not only assume responsibility for their delivery and achievement but also monitor performance. There are a number of areas for which it is crucial to understand the geography of deprivation through detailed geographical disaggregation of data for monitoring purposes, even if local governments may not have full delivery responsibility (e.g. poverty, education, health, economic growth to name a few). Ideally, most outcome-based targets could be disaggregated by rural/urban/sub-county/municipality.

Integration of the SDGs will follow the following steps:

1. The first step of integration is knowing the goals and the relationships that exist among the national planning frameworks. This will enable harmonized integration into the planning, budgeting, implementation and reporting frameworks hence minimizing duplication of efforts and resources. It will therefore be important for all those stakeholders who contribute to the preparation of the plan to be informed.

2. The next step of integration of the agreed upon country goals into the local government plans is in the context/situation analysis, which gives an overview of the current situation in the local government with regard to, amongst others demography, economy, social and environmental features. Local government planning teams will create a horizontal link to the goals for each element in the context/situation analysis of the plan (under Chapter xxx, Section xxx).

Note: Because the goals are at global, continental and regional level, contextualizing them at the local government level may be different. Certain goals may be more or less relevant given the differences/peculiarities in local governments. The choice of

goals at the local government level guided by the overall national direction/priorities can also lead to more local focus on certain goals.

For a structured approach to collection of information that will link to the goals, a template has been developed. (Annex xxx) Local governments can also determine beforehand the kind of data both quantitative and qualitative per relevant goal. The local government will need to collect data disaggregated according to age, gender, disability will be

Integration of goals in the situation analysis will guide the development of interventions to address issues that have been identified.

3. The next stage of integration of the goals is in the monitoring and evaluation strategy of the plans. Indicators for measuring progress on the goals at the local government level be based on the agreed upon NDP results and reporting framework and additional data requirements based on the interventions identified.

3.4.9 Inter-Local Government Linkages and Collaboration in Planning

The Planning regulation calls for consultations with other decentralized planning institutions in the planning process. To operationalize this regulation, this guide provides for inter-local government linkages and collaboration in the planning process (i.e. linkages between neighboring LLGs within the same district or linkages between neighboring districts). The following entry points have provided:

- i. The situation analysis in each sector may show how the situation in one LG affects /is affected by the situation in other LGs (only the main and direct effects should be considered)
- ii. The Description of sector development Strategies and Interventions may include critical cross boundary issues identified in the situation analysis
- iii. The format of the project profiles may also show (in the different sub-headings) how the design of the intervention addresses cross-boundary commitments / targets or strategic linkages with other LGs in the area.
- iv. The planning processes at both HLG and LLG provides steps at which crossboundary dialogue may be affected and the key stakeholders that should be engaged (both political and technical)
- v. To provide for enhanced legality of inter-local government collaboration in the planning and development processes, an option of signing a memorandum of understanding between two or more LGs opting to cooperate in the planning and implementation of some activities of their plan is provided for in this guide.

3.5 Approval of Respective Local Government Development Plans

3.5.1 Approval of Lower Local Government Development Plans

Approval of Lower Local Government plans will be done by the respective LLG council within a formal meeting in accordance to the legal procedures stipulated in the council procedures, rules and regulations. The Municipal/ LLG council should approve the plan by mid-October of year 5 of a current development as outlined in Section 4.2 (step 26 in Table 4 and step 15 of Table 5) of this guide. Before the council approves the plan, the following plan discussions will take place under the plan presentation step (step 25 of Table 4 and step 14 of Table 5):

- Discussion of the draft plan by Municipal / LLG Executive committee
- Laying the draft plan before council by the secretary responsible for finance and /or planning functions at the Municipal/LLG
- Council refers the draft plan to the council standing committee for review
- Discussion of final amalgamated draft plan by Municipal / LLG Executive committee.

Presentation of the final draft plan for council approval (step 26 of table 4 and step 15 of table 5) will be done by the secretary responsible for finance and/ or planning function at the Municipal/LLG executive committees. It is a requirement that Municipal / LLG councilors receive the draft plan at least one week before the meeting to study it and generate issues for consideration in the council meeting.

Upon council approval of the final draft of the Municipal/LLG plan:

- i. The Municipal planning Unit/planning focal office will incorporate any changes recommended by the council in its approval meeting;
- ii. The Town clerk/ Assistant Town clerk/Sub-country chief will present the draft to the executive committee for a final check to ensure that council recommendations have been incorporated
- iii. The Chairperson of the Municipal/LLG council and the Town clerk/Assistant town clerk/ Sub county chief will sign the Municipal/LLG development plan and sanction it for printing and dissemination.

It is a requirement that all serving Municipal/LLG councilors must receive a final copy of the approved plan for their reference.

3.5.2 Approval of Higher Local Government Development Plans

Approval of Higher Local Government plans will be done by the respective HLG council within a formal meeting. HLG council should approve the plan by end of October of year 5 of the implementation of the current development plan as outlined in step 20 of table 3. Before the council approval of the plan, the following prior plan discussions will take place under the plan presentation step (step 19 of table 3):

- i. Discussion of draft plan by HLG Executive committee
- ii. Laying the draft plan before council by the secretary responsible for finance and /or planning functions at HLG
- iii. Council refers the draft plan to the council standing committee for review
- iv. Discussion of final amalgamated draft plan by HLG Executive committee.

Presentation of the final draft plan for council approval (step 20 of table 3) will be done by the secretary responsible for planning functions at the HLG executive committees. It is a requirement that HLG councilors must receive the draft plan at least one week before the meeting that is to debate the draft for them to study it and generate issues for consideration in the council meeting.

Upon council approval of the final draft of the HLG plan:

- i. The DPU will incorporate any changes recommended by the council in its approval meeting;
- ii. The CEO will present the draft to the executive committee for a final check to ensure that council recommendations have been incorporated
- iii. The Chairperson of the HLG and the CEO will sign HLG development plan and sanction it for printing and dissemination.

It is a requirement that all serving HLG councilors must receive a final copy of the approved plan for their reference.

3.6 Plan Submission

Plan Submission is an important phenomenon of the LG planning process that requires local governments to share approved development plans with the higher levels of local governments as well as with national level MDAs.

Submission of Lower Local Government development plans will be done by the Chief Executive of the respective local government (Sub-county Chief, Town Clerk, and Assistant Town Clerk) to the respective levels of HLG. HLG development plans should be submitted by the HLG Chief Executive to National Planning Authority with copies to the Ministry of Local Government, Ministry of Finance Planning and

Economic Development, Office of the Prime Minister, Office of the President, The Local Government Finance Commission. At all levels of submission, LGs will provide both hard and soft copies of their development plans

The deadline for submission of HLG development plans to national level is 31st November of the 5th Year of the existing LGDP.

CHAPTER FOUR 4.0 THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT DEVELOPMENT PLANNING CYCLE AND ROLES OF STAKEHOLDERS

4.1 The 5-year Local Government Development Planning Cycle

The Local Government Development Planning (LGDP) cycle will be a 5-year horizon that will correspond with the National Development Planning cycle. The LGDP cycle will run from year one to year five as outlined in Table 2. For the inaugural application of this guide, the LGDP cycle will commence in the fourth year of the running development plans with the execution of the plan formulation process, and that year will be regarded as the base year for the LGDP1. For the subsequent LGDP cycles, the planning cycle will span between the 1st quarter of the fourth year and the 2nd quarter of the 5th year LGDP Implementation covering a total duration of 14 months (see Table 3).

LGDP planning activities will be adequately harmonized with sector and national development planning processes providing enough time for the different stages of the LGDP formulation to link effectively with those at the sector and national level.

The sequencing of the LGDP planning activities in this guide has been done in such a way that it allows sufficient time to mobilize and execute effective participation of the different stakeholders within local governments.

It is also crucial that the LGDP planning cycle adequately informs the annual budgeting process in local governments. According to current LG budgeting instructions issued by the Ministry of Finance, Planning and Economic Development, the local government budgeting cycle is sup- posed to start in November and end by 30th May (MoFPED Budget Call circular, October 2013). The implication is that if the LGDP is to inform the budgeting of year one of its new plan cycle, it should then be completed latest by October of year 5 of a current plan cycle.

Activity	Year	Year	Year	Year Four	Year Five
	One	Тwo	Three		
Plan	N/A	N/A	N/A	Starting of LGDP	Approval of
formulation				Planning Process	LGDP By
and approval				- August	October 30 th
Plan	July –	July –	July –	July – June	July – June
implementation	June	June	June		

Table 2 LGDP	5-year p	lanning	cycle
	- <i>J</i> - <i>P</i>		- /

Midterm	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Rebview				
End Of Term	October -			
Review	December			

Steps, Methodology, Actors and Timing of the LGDP Formulation 4.2 Process

The following planning steps and specific timelines are to be followed by HLGs and LLGs during plan formulation:

4.2.1 HLG Planning Process

Table 3: Steps, Methodology, Actors and Timing	of the LGDP Planning Process
--	------------------------------

STEP S	PROCESS ACTIVITIES	METHODOLOGY	LEAD ACTORS	TIME LINE
Step 1	HLGs receive Planning Call Circular from NPA that includes communication on national development vision/strategic objectives, goals, etc.	Written plan call circular received from NPA	CEO, District Planner	August
Step 2	HLGs form District Planning Task Teams to be responsible for supporting the DTPC in the LDGP formulation process	Formal Appointment of Planning Task Team members ² by CEO	CEO	August
Step 3	HLGs communicate Planning Call Circular information to LLGs, Municipal, CSOs and Stakeholders by CEO	Written communication by CEO	CEO	September
Step 4	HLGs embark on Consultations and Collection of basic data that will inform the LGDP formulation (including Community data collected in LLGs) (as outlined in section 3.1 of this guide)	Desk-based documents review, consultation with LLG, MDAs, CSOs, Private sector and other sources	CEO, District Planning Task Team coordinated by DPU	September – November
Step 5	HLGs hold Planning Forums to discuss district development situations	District Planning Forum	CEO, District Planning Task Team	December
Step 6	HLGs analyze key development issues/ constraints, potentials, opportunities and challenges for the HLGs (as guided in section 3.2.1.5).	Sector technical planning meetings, Planning Retreat	Heads of Departments, CSO and Private Sector, District Planning Task Team	December- January
Step 7	HLG sectors Interface with LLGs to clarify LLG priorities and guide LLG planning process	Joint planning Meeting	CAO, District planner	TBD
Step 8	HLGs review and customize the broad National Development Strategic direction; sector–specific	Working meetings for District Planning Task Team	District Planning Task Team, DPU	January/ February

² Besides the relevant LG technical staffs, these Planning task teams should include Municipal/District Development Forums members, Representatives of CSOs, and private sector apex organizations; etc.

	strategies, priorities and standards; and relevant crosscutting issues (as guided in sections $3.2.2.1 - 3$)			
Step 9	HLG Planning task team synthesize all development issues/ constraints, potentials, opportunities analysed in step 5 as well as those received from LLG planning forums to form one list for DTPCs discussion and onward submission to Sector Ministries and NPA	Working meetings for District Planning Task Team, DTPC meeting	District Planning Task Team, DPU, DTPC	Mid February
Step 10	Inter-local government Dialogue	Joint meetings	Chairperson/ Mayor, CEO, and HODs	TBD
Step 11	HLGs submit HLG development issues to Sector Ministries and NPA (for integration in sector development planning and NDP processes)	Written communication by CEO to sector ministries and NPA	CEO	End of February
	HLGs dialogue with MDAs	Joint meetings between sectors and LGs	PSs and CEO, HODs, Chairperson/ Mayor	TBD
Step 12	HLGs analyze and Compile the development resource envelope that will be the basis for selecting the investments for the LGDP and determining the plan funding gap	Local revenue projections; Desk- based review of financial commitments; consultation with sector ministries , other MDAs and respective development partners	District Planning Task Team	February
Step 13	HLGs elaborate and set Development outcomes, Goals and strategic Objectives that will guide the strategic direction of the LGDP	Departmental working sessions	All HLG sector departments facilitated by District Planning Task Team	March
Step 14	HLG Executive committee approves Development outcomes, goals, and strategic Objectives that will guide the strategic direction of the LGDP	Formal HLG Executive Committee meeting	HLG Executive Committee meeting	End of March
Step 15	HLGs receive Municipal and LLG development priorities for integration in LGDP	Written communication received from Municipal and LLGs	CEO	End of April
Step 16	HLG sectors Identify sector specific development outcomes, goals, strategic objectives, outputs , strategies and interventions to comprise their sections in the LGDP	Departmental working sessions, inter-district dialogue meetings for cross-boundary development priorities (with neighboring HLGs)	All HLG sector departments facilitated by District Planning Task Teams	April
Step 17	HLG (Planning Task Team) consolidates Development outcomes, Goals, strategic Objectives, outputs, strategies and interventions	Working meetings for District Planning Task Team, DTPC meeting	HLG Planning Task Team and DTPC	May
Step	HLGs submits HLG Development	Written communication	CEO	Mid May

18	priorities to sector ministries for integrated in sector development planning	to sector ministries with a copy to NPA		
Step 19	LGDP documentation- HLGs Prepare LGDP documents including elaboration of project profiles, project costing, etc (as guided in section 3.2.3)	HLG Plan drafting meetings	Heads of District departments facilitated by District Planning Task Team	May – End August
Step 20	HLGs develop Spatial maps indicating location of main development interventions/ service delivery points and gaps.	GIS/Computer based mapping program	District Planning Units, Heads of Departments, Physical Planners	August- September
Step 21	Draft HLGs Development Plan is presented to relevant committees and Civic Forums ³ for debate	Meetings and /or workshops	HLGs TPC, council Sector committees, HLG Executive Committee	September
Step 22	HLG Development Plan approval by Council	Formal meetings	HLGs Council	October
Step 23	Printing and dissemination of final HLG development plan to (NPA and other MDAS; all HLGs political leaders, technical departments and development partners ; and LLGs including feedback on priorities incorporated in HLG plans)	Formal communication	CEO	October
	Beginning of Annual Planning/ HLG Budget Cycle			November

4.2.2 Planning Process for Municipal Councils and Division local governments

Table 4: MC and	Division Local	l Government	Planning Process
			-

STEP S	PROCESS ACTIVITIES	METHODOLOGY	LEAD ACTORS	TIME LINE
Step 1	MC and Divisions form Planning Task Teams to be responsible for supporting the Municipal Technical Planning Committee (MTCP) in the LGDP formulation process	Formal Appointment of planning task team members by MC and Division CEO	MC CEO, Division CEO guided by Municipal Planning Unit	August
Step 1	Community Level Data collection / update of public facilities	Participatory Data collection	Town Agent and community facilitators	June/ July
Step 3	Amalgamation and Processing of Community data at Parish level	Desk based work	Town Agent and community facilitators	June /July
Step 4	Identification of community needs	Village meetings	Town Agent and community facilitators	August

³ Civic forums may include representatives drawn from Private sector, Communities and CSO institutions organised through planning conferences/ workshops

Step 5	Municipal Councils (MC) receive Planning Call information from HLG CEO and circulate the information to Divisions and all other key actors in the Municipal Councils planning process	Written plan call information received from HLG CEO and circulated to all actors in the Municipality	MC CEO	August
Step 6	Ward meetings for Prioritization	Planning meeting	Town Agent and community facilitators assisted by CDO	TBD
Step 7	Data / priorities' compilation by LLG CDO			
Step 8	MC and Divisions embark on Consultations and Collection of basic data that will inform the LGDP formulation (as outlined in section 3.1 of this guide)	Desk-based documents review, consultation with MC, CSOs, Private Sector and other sources	CEO, MC Task Planning Team coordinated by MC Planning Unit	October – November
Step 9	Scoring of Priorities by STPC	Working sessions	STPC facilitated by CDO and ATC	TBD
Step 10	Divisions hold Planning Forums to discuss Division development situations	Division planning forum	Division Assistant Town Clerk(ATC) , Division Planning Task Team, MCPU	Beginning January
Step 11	MC hold Planning Forum to discuss MC development situ- ations	Municipal Council planning forum	MC CEO, MCTPC,MC Planning Task Team, MCPU	End of Janu- ary
Step 12	HLG sectors Interface with LLGs to clarify LLG priorities and guide LLG planning process	Joint planning Meeting	CAO, District planner	TBD
Step 13	Divisions customize the broad National Development Strategic direction; sector– specific strategies, priorities, and standards; and relevant crosscutting issues (as guided in sections 3.3.1 – 3)	Working meetings for Division planning task Teams	Division Planning Task Team with guidance from MCPU	February
Step 14	Divisions analyze key development issues/ constraints, potentials, opportunities and challenges for the MC (as guided in section 3.2.1.5).	planning meetings	Division Planning Task Team with guidance from MCPU	February
Step 15	Inter-local government Dialogue	Joint meetings	Chairperson/ Mayor, CEO, and HODs	TBD
Step 16	MC customize the broad National Development Strategic direction; sector-specific strategies, priorities, and standards; and relevant cross- cutting issues (as guided in sections 3.3.1 – 3)	Working meetings for MC planning Teams	MC Planning Task Team with guidance from DPU/MCPU	End of February

	opportunities and challenges for the MC (as guided in section 3.2.1.5).	Planning meetings(MC),planning meetings(LLGs)	Task Team with guidance from DPU/MCPU	
Step 18	Divisions submit their development issues for integration in MC development issues	Written submission to MC CEO via the MCPU	Division CEO	Early March
Step 19	MCs Planning task team synthesize all development issues/ constraints, potentials, opportunities analyzed in step 5 as well as those received from Division planning forums to form one list for MCTPCs discussion and onward sub- mission to HLG	Working meetings for MC Planning Task Team, MC TPC meeting	MC Planning Task Team, MCPU, MC TPC	Mid March
Step 20	MC submit their development issues for integration in HLG development issues	Written submission to HLG CEO via the DPU	MC CEO	Late March
Step 21	Divisions analyze and compile the development resource envelope that will be the basis for selecting the investments for the LGDP in the Division and determining the plan funding gap	Desk-based review of Local revenue projections and other financial commitments; consultation with MC planning team and respective Division development partners(CSOs, Private Sector, NGOs, donors, FBOs, etc)	Division planning Teams with guidance from MCPU	By Late March
Step 22	Divisions elaborate and set Development outcomes, goals, strategic objectives and interventions to comprise the MC development plans	Working meetings for Division planning Teams	Division planning Teams facilitated by MC Planning Task Team	Early April
Step 23	Divisions submit to MC development priorities for integration in MC plans	Written submission to MC CEO via the MC PU	Division CEO	Mid-April
Step 24	MC analyze and compile the development resource envelope that will be the basis for selecting the investments for the LGDP in the MC and determining the plan funding gap	Desk-based review of Local revenue projections and other financial commitments; consultation with HLG planning team and respective MC development partners(CSOs, Private Sector, NGOs, donors, FBOs, etc.)	MC planning Teams with guidance from DPU/ MCPU	By End of April
Step 25	MC elaborate and set development outcomes, goals, strategic objectives and interventions to comprise the MC development plans	Working meetings for MC planning Teams	MC planning Teams facilitated by MC Planning Task Team	By End of April

26	MC Submit to HLG	Written submission to	MC CEO	End of April
20	development priorities for	HLG CEO via the DPU	MC CLO	
	integration in HLG plans			
Step	MC receive feedback from	Written feedback	HLG CEO	June
27	HLG regarding final list of	communication to	ILUCEU	Julie
21		MC CEO		
	MC priorities integrated in	MC CEO		
	HLG plans for them to modify			
<u>a</u> .	their draft Development plans			
Step	MC sends to divisions feed-	Written feedback	MC CEO	Mid June
28	back from HLG regarding	communication to		
	final list of Division priorities	Division CEO		
	integrated in MC, HLG and			
	national plans for them to			
	modify their draft			
	Development plans			
Step	Division plan documentation:-	Division Plan drafting	Division planning	June – End
29	Divisions prepare LGDP	meetings	Teams, facilitated	August
	documents including		by District	
	elaboration of project profiles,		Planning Task	
	project costing, etc. (as guided		Team	
	in section 3.2.3)			
Step	MC plan documentation, MC	MC Plan drafting	MC planning	Mid June –
30	prepare LGDP documents	meetings	Teams supervised	End August
	including elaboration of project		by MC TPC	
	profiles, project costing, etc. (as			
	guided in section 3.2.3)			
Step	Draft MC and Division	Committee Meetings	MC/Division	September
31	Development Plans are		TPC and political	
	presented to internal		(Executive	
	committees for debate		Committees,	
			Council Sector	
			Commit- tees)	
Step	MC / Division Development	Formal meetings	MC/ Division	By End of
32	Plan approval by Councils		Councils	October,
Step	Printing and dissemination of:	-	MC/ Division	By
33	1- Final MC development plan		Chief Executive/	November
	to HLG, MC and Division		and Planning	
	political leaders, technical		Office	
	departments and development			
	partners at the MC level and			
	downwards to ward and cell			
	councils);			
	2- Final Division development			
	plan to MC, Division political			
	leaders, technical departments			
	and development partners at the			
	Division level; downwards to			
	ward and cell councils)			
Step	Printing and dissemination of:		MC/ Division	By
34	1- Final MC development plan		Chief Executive/	November
	to HLG, MC and Division		and Planning	
	political leaders, technical		Office	
	departments and development			
	partners at the MC level and			
	downwards to ward and cell			
	councils);			
	2- Final Division development			
				1

le a D	blan to MC, Division political eaders, technical departments and development partners at the Division level; downwards to vard and cell councils)	
A	Beginning of MC / Division Annual Planning/Budget Cycle For year 1 of LGDP	November

4.2.3 Lower Local Government Planning Process (Sub counties and Town Councils)

STEPS	PROCESS ACTIVITIES	METHODOLO GY	LEAD ACTORS	TIME LINE
Step 1	LLGs form Planning Task Teams to be responsible for coordinating the LDGP process in the LLG	Formal Appointment of task team members by LLG Chief executive	LLG CEO guided by District Planning Unit	August
Step 2	Community Level Data collection / update of public facilities	Participatory Data collection	Parish Chiefs and community facilitators	June/ July
Step 3	Amalgamation and Processing of Community data at Parish level	Desk based work	Parish Chiefs and community facilitators	June /July
Step 4	Identification of community needs	Village meetings	Parish Chiefs and community facilitators	August
Step 5	LLG Receive Planning Call information from CAO and circulate the information to all key actors in the LLG planning process	Written plan call information received from CAO and circulated to all actors	LLG Chief executive	August
Step 6	Ward meetings for Prioritization	Planning meeting	Town Agent and community facilitators assisted by CDO	TBD
Step 7	Data / priorities' compilation by LLG CDO			
Step 8	LLGs hold Planning Forums to discuss LLG development situations	Sub-County planning forum	LLG CEO, LLG Planning Task Team, DPU	January
Step 9	LLGs analyze key development issues/ constraints, potentials, opportunities and challenges for the LLGs (as guided in section3.2.1.5)	Sector technical Planning meetings	LLG Planning Task Team with guidance from DPU	December
Step 10	Inter-local government Dialogue	Jointmeetings	Chairperson/ Mayor, CEO, and HODs	TBD

Table 5: Lower Local Government Planning Process

Step 11	HLG sectors Interface with LLGs to clarify LLG priorities and guide LLG planning process	Joint planning Meeting	CAO, District planner	TBD
Step 12	LLGs customize the broad National Development Strategic direction; sector- specific strategies, priorities, and standards; and relevant crosscutting issues (as guided in sections 3.3.1 – 3)	Working meetings for LLG planning Teams	LLG Planning Task Team with guidance from DPU	January
Step 13	LLGs submit their development issues for integration in HLG development issues	Written submission by the LLG chief executive to CAO via the DPU	LLG	Early February
Step 14	LLGs analyze and Compile the development resource envelope that will be the basis for selecting the investments for the LGDP in the LLG and determining the plan funding	Desk-based review of Local revenue projections and other financial commitments; consultation with HLG planning team and respective LLG development partners(CSOs, Private Sector, NGOs, donors, FBOs, etc) Working meetings	LLG planning Teams with guidance from DPU	By Late February
Step 15	LLGs elaborate and set Development outcomes, Goals strategic Objectives and interventions to comprise the LLG development plans	Working meetings for LLG planning Teams	LLG planning Teams facilitated by District Planning Task Team	February- April
Step 16	LLG submit to HLG development priorities for integration in HLG plans	Written submission to HLG CEO via the DPU	LLG CEO	End of April
Step 17	LLGs receive feedback from HLG regarding final list of LLG priorities integrated in HLG plans for them to modify their draft Development plans	Written feedback communication	LLG CEO	Mid June
Step 18	LLG plan documentation, LLGs prepare LGDP documents including elaboration of project profiles, project costing, etc (as guided in section 3.2.3)	LLG Plan drafting meetings	LLG planning Teams, facilitated by District Planning Task Team	Mid June – End August
Step 19	Draft LLGs Development Plan is presented to internal committees for debate	Committee meetings	LLG technical(TPC) and political (LLG/ MC Executive Committees, Council Sector Committees)	September
		Formal meetings	LLG Council	By

	Council		November
Step 21	Printing and dissemination of final LLG	LLG Chief	By
	development plan to (upwards to the HLG; horizontally to all LLGs political leaders and technical departments and development partners at the LLG level; downwards to parish/ward and village /cell councils)	Executive/ and Planning Office	November
	Beginning of LLG Annual Planning/Budget Cycle for year 1 of LGDP		November

4.3 Stakeholders in the LGDP Process and Their Respective Roles and Responsibilities

Legally, development planning in local governments is at two levels: Higher Local Government level (District or City Council), and Municipal & Lower local Government Level (Municipality, Sub County or Town Council). Therefore, the primary stakeholders in the local government planning process are the institutions, agencies and individuals comprising these two levels. At each of these Local Government levels, there are structures and Offices that carry out varied mandates and responsibilities in the development planning process.

At the same time, effective Local Government planning calls for participation of lower local councils, community institutions, non-governmental organizations, and the private sector in the local government development processes. Besides being the representative institutions for the targeted beneficiaries of the local government development plans, these institutions are also expected to take active part in the planning process so that planning is made more relevant by addressing the real development needs and challenges faced by the people.

4.3.1 Stakeholders at Higher Local Government Level and their Roles and Responsibilities in LG Development planning process

Table 6 summarizes the main Stakeholders at Higher Local Government Level involved in the LG Development Planning process and their roles and responsibilities.

Table 6	Stakeholders	at Higher	Local	Government	Level	and	their	Roles	and
Response	bilities in LG d	development	t plannin	ng process					

S/N	Stakeholders	Roles and responsibilities		
1	District (HLG) council	The overall District Planning Authority		
		• Discussion and approval of the Five-Year District		
		Development Plan		
2	District (HLG)	• Approval of the HLG strategic development objectives that		

	Executive Committee	will guide the LGDP formulation Review of draft development plans before presentation to council
3	District (HLG) Council sector Committees	Review and recommend draft sector goals, outcomes, outputs, strategies and Interventions (draft LGDP) for council approval.
4	District (HLG) Chairperson	• Endorse HLG development plan approved by the council before its submission and dissemination
5	District (HLG) Technical Planning Committee	 Taking lead in the formulation of LGDP (with support from planning task team) Coordinating collaboration and linkages with other LGs. Discussing and agreeing on the modalities for the planning process; Reviewing and customizing the broad National Development Strategic direction; sector-specific strategies, priorities and standards; and relevant crosscutting issues; Appraising individual projects for LGDP; Coordinating and integrating Sector and LLG plans into HLG development plan; Discuss and agree the draft LGDP to be presented to DEC Integrate Municipal Council priorities into the district development plan Reviewing District performance. Undertaking any other activities for implementing the LGDP planning cycle.
6	District (HLG) Departments	 Analysing key development issues/ constraints, potentials, opportunities and challenges for the HLGs Generate baseline data and situation analysis for their respective sectors/departments Identifying sector specific development outcomes, goals, strategic objectives, outputs, strategies and interventions to inform the LGD Cost the sector identified interventions/priorities Review and analyse priorities submitted by LLGs Undertaking any other activities for implementing the LGDP planning cycle as may be determined by the CEO
7	District (HLG) Planning Task team	 Collecting and analysing data for the LGDP formulation; Support sectors in identifying sector specific development outcomes, goals, strategic objectives, outputs, strategies and interventions to inform the LGDP Support the DTPC in the following; Customizing the broad national development strategic direction; sector-specific strategies, priorities and standards; and relevant crosscutting issues; Synthesizing all development issues/ constraints, potentials, opportunities analysed as well as those received from LLG planning forum Consolidating sector development outcomes, goals, strategic objectives, outputs, strategies and interventions Consolidating LLG priorities and share them with DLG Technical Department Final drafting of LGDP including elaboration of project profiles, project costing, implementation plan, M&E plan and communication and feedback strategy

		 Analysing and compiling the development resource envelope that will be the basis for selecting the investments for the LGDP and determining the plan funding gap Facilitating planning forum/meetings Undertaking any other activities in the LGDP formulation process as may be determined by the CEO
8	(HLG) Planning Unit	 Providing secretariat for TPC and the Planning task team in the LGDP formulation process Drafting Planning call circulars for CEOs signature based on national planning call circulars Handle all documentation of the draft comprehensive Five-Year District Development Plan Providing technical guidance to the overall LGDP planning cycle Management of District Information Systems Giving feedback to the LLGs and parishes/wards about the results of the planning process Coordinating other stakeholders on behalf of CEO to achieve effective implementation, monitoring and evaluation of the LGDP in the district Local Government Liaising with the National Planning Authority on all technical matters regarding management and coordination of the Local Government development planning cycle Taking lead in the organization and coordination of the planning forum and overall consultative process for the LGDP formulation
9	CEO	 Taking charge of the entire local government planning process Issuing the Planning Call Circular to LLG Endorsing all correspondences to various actors regarding implementation of the Local Government development planning cycle Endorsing Five Year LGDP after approval by the Council Submitting approved LGDP to NPA and other stakeholders Endorsing the different instruments operationalising the approved local government development plans Coordinating and facilitating the TPC and the planning task team to execute the local government planning processes. Undertaking any other activities in the Local Government development planning cycle
10	Civil society and private sector organizations ⁴ (e.g. NGOs, FBOs, CBOs etc.) and the Academia	 Participating in DTPC activities related to LGDP (upon being co-opted to the committee) Providing information about their on-going and planned development activities to the DTPC for integration in the development plan Contributing to formulation of local government development plan. Contributing to implementation of LGDP financing strategy

⁴ Owing to the low development of private sector organisations in local governments, HLGs authorities are encouraged to put in extra effort to mobilise small scale producers' associations; prosperous traders, processors, and farmers; producer groups; SACCOs, chambers of commerce, etc. to participate in the planning process.

	• Participating in the planning, implementation and M&E of LGDP activities
--	--

4.3.2 Roles and Responsibilities of Stakeholders at the Municipal and Lower Local Government level

Planning stakeholders at the LLG level include:

- i. Planning stakeholders at Municipal / Sub-county/ Town council/ Municipal Division levels;
- ii. Planning stakeholders at Parish/ward level;
- iii. Planning stakeholders at Village /cell/ community level;
- iv. Planning stakeholders at NGO/CBO/Private sector level

4.3.2.1 Municipal/ Sub-county/ Town council/ Division level stakeholders

Table 7 summarizes the main Stakeholders at municipal and lower Local Government stakeholders involved in the LGDP process and their roles and responsibilities in that process.

Ν	Stakeholders	Roles and responsibilities
1	Municipal/ Sub-County/ Town/Division Council	Participating in the planning forumDiscussing and approving the five-year Development Plan;
2	Executive Committee	 Approval of development issues and priorities for submission to higher local government. Reviewing of draft development plans before presentation to council Monitoring the implementation of LGDP activities
3	Municipal / Sub- County/ Town/Division chairperson	Endorsing Municipal/ Lower Local Government development plan before it is disseminated
5	Community Development officer	 Providing secretariat for LLG/Division TPC and the Planning task team in the LGDP formulation process Handling all documentation of the draft comprehensive Five year LLG Development Plan Giving feedback to the parish/wards/village/cell/community about the results of the planning process. Facilitating the planning meetings of lower level councils Liaising with the HLG/MC on all technical matters regarding management and coordination of the local government development Planning process Mobilization of community actors, CSOs, private sector and FBOs to participate in the planning process
6	Technical Planning Committee	 Taking lead in the formulation of LGDP (with support from planning task team) Reviewing and customizing the broad national development strategic direction; sector–specific strategies, priorities and standards; and relevant crosscutting issues;

- Appraising individual projects for LGDP;
- Coordinating and integrating sector plans, division plans and /or lower level council priorities into the development plans of their jurisdictions
- Review and analyse priorities submitted by LLGs
- Discussing and agree the draft LGDP to be presented to the respective Executive Committees
- Reviewing the respective local government performance.
- Undertaking any other activities for implementing the LGDP planning cycle.
- Collecting and analysing data for the LGDP formulation;
- Support Municipal Council sectors in identifying sector specific development outcomes, goals, strategic objectives, outputs, strategies and interventions to inform the LGDP
- Support the LLG/MC/Division TPC in the following;
- Customizing the broad national development strategic direction; sector–specific strategies, priorities and standards; and relevant crosscutting issues;
- Synthesizing all development issues/ constraints, potentials, opportunities analysed as well as those received from lower level council planning meetings
- Consolidating Municipal Council sector development outcomes, goals, strategic objectives, outputs, strategies and interventions;
- Final drafting of LGDP including elaboration of project profiles, project costing, implementation plan, M&E plan and communication and feedback strategy
- Analyzing and compiling the development resource envelope that will be the basis for selecting the investments for the LGDP and determining the plan funding gap Facilitating planning forum/meetings
- Undertake any other activities in the LGDP formulation process as may be determined by the CEO
- Take lead of the planning process in their respective local governments
- Issuing the Planning Call Circular to Divisions and other actors in the MC
- Endorsing all correspondences to various actors regarding implementation of the Local Government development planning cycle
- Endorsing Five Year LGDP after approval by the Council
- Submitting approved LGDP to HLG and other stakeholders
- Endorsing the different instruments operationalising the approved local government development plans
- Undertaking any other activities in the Local Government development planning cycle
- Coordinating and facilitating the TPC and the planning task team to execute the municipal LLG planning processes.
- Undertaking any other activities in the Local Government development planning cycle
- Submission of Municipal Council priorities to the District CEO for integration into the DDP

7 Municipal/ LLG Planning Task team

8 LLG/MC/Division CEO

9 Civil society and private sector organizations⁵

4.3.2.2 Parish/Ward Level Planning

At the Parish level, the structures that play major roles in the LGDP process include; the Parish/ ward Council; the Parish/ward Executive Committee; and the Parish /ward Development Committee. Box 1 shows the main LGDP planning tasks that will be handled at the parish/ward level

Box 1: LGDP planning tasks at Parish/Ward level

- Participating in popularizing the national vision, national strategic direction and relevant crosscutting issues
- Analyzing of the potentials, opportunities, challenges and general development constraints faced by the parish/ward
- Holding parish/ward planning meetings to discuss parish development situations attended by parish/ward council members, Development Committee members, representatives of community groups, religious organizations, government service institutions, private sector organizations, NGOs working in the area, etc.
- Receiving and debating development aspirations from the community levels and synthesize them into a single list of development issues for submission to LLGs and division for consideration.
- Ensuring timely compliance to the LGDP planning processes by all stakeholders in the parish/ward
- Mobilizing people to participate in the planning process and implementation of LGDP activities
- Monitoring LGDP activities' progress

Supported by the LLG/Division planning task team members, Parish Chiefs/Town Agents are responsible for coordinating parish/ward level LGDP activities. The roles and responsibilities of each of the parish / ward level planning structures are summarized in Table 8.

encour	rivate sector organisations in local governments, LLG authorities are mobilise small scale producers, prosperous traders, producer groups, nning process.
	 Participating in LLG/MC/Division TPC activities related to LGDP (upon being co-opted to the committee) Providing information about their on-going and planned interventions to the LLG/MC/Division TPC for integration in the development plan Contributing to formulation of LLG/MC/Division plan.

Stakeholders	Roles and responsibilities
Parish/Ward Council	• Discussing and approving a list of development issues/priorities
	for submission to LLG/division councils for integration
	Attending planning meetings
	• Monitoring the LGDP development activities implemented in
	their areas
	• Participating in popularizing the national vision and national strategic direction
Parish/Ward Executive Committee	• Discussing and recommending to parish/ward council a list of development issues/priorities for submission to LLG/Division
	councils for integration
	• Initiating, encouraging, supporting and participating in self-help projects;
	 Mobilizing people, materials and technical assistance for Parish/Ward self-help projects;
	• Monitoring projects and other activities undertaken by the Government, Local Governments and NGOs in the area;
	• Providing feedback to the Village/Cell Council, Sub-
	county/Division on the development activities and concerns of the village;
	• Participating in popularizing the National Vision and national
	strategic directions and relevant cross-cutting issues
Parish Chief /Town Agent and Parish/Ward	• Regularly updating and compiling Parish/Ward information/data bank;
Development Committee	• Identifying parish/ward development potentials/opportunities, development challenges, priorities;
	• Integrating Village/Cell development priorities and proposals into parish/ward development priorities and proposals;
	• Review and analyse parish data and priorities and submit them to LLGs
	• Appraising parish/ward proposals with technical support of the
	LLG/Division Technical Planning Committee;
	• Identifying and recommend to Parish/Ward Council, priorities to be implemented using parish resources and those to be forwarded
	to LLG/Division;
	• Facilitating village/cell level planning meetings.
	• Submission of parish/ward priorities to the sub-county/division CEO for integration into the LLG Plans

 Table 8: Planning Roles and Responsibilities for Stakeholders at Parish/Ward level

4.3.2.3 Village/Cell/ Community Level Planning

The main planning tasks under the LGDP process at the village/ community level are shown in Box 2.

Box 2: LGDP planning tasks at Village/Cell/ Community Level

- Participating in popularizing the national vision, national strategic direction and relevant crosscutting issues
- Identifying general development issues, potentials, constraints and challenges facing the village / cell
- Organizing and participating in community planning meetings to discuss village/ cell development situations. The planning meetings at the village/cell levels are to be attended by all adult people living in the village/cell; representatives of community groups, religious organisations, government service institutions, private sector organisations, NGOs working in the area, etc.
- Ensuring timely compliance to the LGDP planning processes by all stakeholders in the village/cell
- Mobilizing people to participate in the planning process and implementation of LGDP activities
- Providing information on service delivery, transparency and accountability, and challenges and gaps in service delivery at the community level

The roles and responsibilities of each of the Village/Cell level planning structures are summarized in Table 9.

Roles and responsibilities
 Receiving and disseminating information regarding national Vision and national strategic direction to the community Attending Village/Cell planning meetings
 Identifying and submitting to the Parish Chief/Town Agent and Parish/Ward Council development issues in the village/cell;
 Planning and mobilizing locally available materials and labour towards the Village/Cell projects;
Monitoring the implementation of development activities/delivery of services within the Village/Cell
• Mobilizing Village/Cell members to attend the village/cell planning meetings and other development-related meetings;
 Collecting and keeping village information/data;
• Submitting Village/Cell development priorities and proposals to the Parish/Ward Council for consideration;
 Initiating, encouraging, supporting and participating in self-help projects;
 Monitoring projects and other activities undertaken by the Government and NGOs in their area;
Undertake any other development related activities
• Submission of village priorities to the parish chiefs for informing parish priorities
• Attending the Village/Cell planning meetings and other development-
related meetings
• Supporting implementation of development activities in their areas by providing project site security, mobilizing community contribution for project implementation, and organizing meetings for project implementation

 Table 9: Planning Roles and Responsibilities for Stakeholders at Village/Cell level

 Stakeholders
 Roles and responsibilities

4.3.3 Planning Roles and Responsibilities for CSOs/NGOs, CBOs, FBOs

In order to foster collaboration and complementarity in the local government planning process, NGOs/CBOs, Faith Based Organization's (FBO) and the Private Sector will play key roles including;

- a. Participating in planning and budgeting meetings of Local Councils within their area of operation;
- b. Bringing in their expertise to facilitate Local Council planning processes;
- c. Contributing funds/logistics towards the Local Council planning and budgeting process where possible;
- d. Making available their plans and budgets for integration into the Local Council plans and budgets.
- e. Providing information about their on-going and planned interventions for integration in the LG development plans
- f. Participating in the planning and implementation of LGDP activities
- g. Contributing funding towards the implementation of LGDP

4.3.4 Planning Roles and Responsibilities for Private Sector

The private sector in LGs is comprised of producers' associations; small-scale traders and farmers; Produce buyers and processors; producer groups; SACCOs; chambers of commerce; service providers; etc. Though private sector stakeholders have unique development needs, they have weak institutional structures. They therefore need to be specifically mobilized and facilitated to participate in the local government planning process. The main roles and responsibilities of private sector stakeholders in the local government planning process are envisaged to include the following:

- Providing basic relevant data concerning the private sector in a LG
- Identifying development priorities for the private sector at different levels of LGs.
- Participating in community planning meetings to discuss private sector development needs, potentials and opportunities
- Attending planning conference/ meetings
- Mobilising private sector resources to support implementation of relevant activities incorporated within LG development plans

CHAPTER FIVE 5.0 LGDP MONITORING AND EVALUATION STRATEGY

5.1 Purpose of LGDP Monitoring and Evaluation Strategy

For the LGDP to be complete, it should have an M&E strategy that will enable Local Governments to regularly and systematically track progress of implementation of priority initiatives and assess performance of the plan in line with the agreed objectives and performance indicators. Therefore, Local Governments are required to develop a LGDP M&E strategy that will also feed into the NDP M&E Framework and the overall Government-wide M&E system. The LGDP M&E strategy will help the Local Governments and other development actors to know, among others, whether:

- i. The planned activities have been undertaken as planned.
- ii. The resources (funds materials, or human resources) made available for plan implementation deviated from what was planned.
- iii. The resources were delivered on time and schedule as planned.
- iv. Implementation of activities resulted into the planned outputs.
- v. Outputs resulted in the envisaged outcomes as per set objectives and whether the set objectives are still relevant.
- vi. The plan met its goal, i.e. whether the plan brought about the desired changes in the lives of the targeted beneficiaries.

The LGDP M&E strategy is also required to serve other governance and administrative objectives at different level of local and national governments as well as those of development partners.

5.2 Values and Principles Guiding the LGDP Monitoring and Evaluation Strategy

The LGDP M&E strategy should be based on the following values and principles:

- **Simplicity:** M&E strategy should be simple but effective enough to capture pertinent information required to guide planning and decision making at the various levels of local governments
- **Involvement of implementers:** The strategy will require the participation of implementers at all levels in monitoring M&E activities.
- **Sustainability:** The strategy should provide for building capacity of stakeholders involved in the implementation of the LGDP

5.3 Scope of LGDP Monitoring and Evaluation Strategy

The scope of the LGDP monitoring and evaluation strategy should cover aspects of; Pre-implementation evaluation (identification of project ideas, profiling and prefeasibility studies), Plan implementation (inputs, activities and outputs); assessing results (intermediate and final outcomes), relevance of programs and activities; efficiency (concerning use of resources); effectiveness, and assessment of impacts and their sustainability, etc.. through reviews and ex-post evaluation of plans, programmes and projects.

5.4 Elements of the LGDP M&E Strategy

The LGDP M&E strategy will include definition of:

- i. Objectives of the M&E strategy
- ii. Stakeholders in the LGDP M&E Function
- iii. Development of LG M&E System
- iv. Reporting Arrangements
- v. The LGDP Monitoring and Evaluation Reporting Matrix

5.4.1 Objectives of the M&E strategyy

In line with the results framework of the LGDP, the objectives of the M&E strategy as guided in section 5.1 will be customized to reflect the reality of the planned interventions in the LGDP.

5.4.2 Stakeholders in the LGDP M&E Function

The local government M&E is institutionally required to feed into the NDP M&E results frame- work. At the national level, the local government M&E systems and upward reporting shall be co- ordinated by the Ministry of Local Government. In doing so, the Ministry of Local Government shall be responsible for consolidation of the information and making them available to other users such as the NPA, OPM, MoFPED and all other Ministries and Sectors. The overriding strategy is not to duplicate monitoring and reporting efforts and frameworks, but to maximize synergies. The key institutional reports produced by the centre that require input from the local government M&E are given in table 10.

Table 10: National Level M&E Stakeholders and Frameworks Relevant to LGDP M&E Strategy

Institution	Framework	Key features	
The National	The National	• The National Development Report is an annual	

Planning Authority	Development Report		report produced by the NPA to provide information on the country's current development status and the progress made against the NDP indicators at all levels of the Plan's results framework. The report also covers the contribution of non-state actors
Office of the Prime Minister (OPM)	Government Annual Performance Report	•	The Government Annual Performance report assesses performance of Government MDAs and Local Governments against key objectives outlined in the National Development Plan, and the medium terms objectives and budget spending across the sixteen sectors who implement this plan.
Ministry of Local Government	annual national assessment	•	Annual assessment of minimum conditions and performance measures ascertains local government performance against legal and regulatory standards. The assessment also enforces compliance of local governments to the provisions of the laws and national guidelines.
Ministry of Local Government	Joint Annual Review of Decentralization	·	The JARD process is a mechanism for stakeholders and Line Ministries to jointly review progress in agreed annual undertakings and recommendations. A joint monitoring committee of the decentralization Technical Working Group also carries out independent monitoring and evaluation and reports to the JARD
Sector Line Ministries (Education, Water, Health, Works, etc.)	Sector Management information Systems (HMIS, EMIS, etc.), Ministerial Policy Statements and Annual Reports		Most sector line ministries have got established MIS that regularly capture and communicate information regarding the performance of the main services that they are supposed to deliver in local governments, the challenges and emerging issues experienced in each quarter of the financial year. The MIS is key in informing the annual sector reports that are produced and circulated by line ministries.
Sector Line Ministries (Education, Water, Health, Works, etc.)	Sector Review Meetings	•	A number of the sector line ministries hold bi- annual or annual joint sector reviews where progress against budget commitments are assessed and specific undertakings for improvements are adopted including roadmaps to implement them.
Ministry of Finance	Budget Monitoring and Accountability	•	Situated within MoFPED, is the Budget Monitoring and Accountability unit that tracks financial flows to LGs and monitors the implementation of government programmes and projects in terms of inputs and outputs.
Ministry of Finance	Performance Contracts (Performance Form B)	•	MoFPED requires all Local Government Accounting Officers to report quarterly against a new Performance Form detailing progress against output targets and linking these to expenditure against releases.
Ministry of Public Service	Client Charters	•	The Ministry of the Public Service (MPS) has started the process of developing client charters which define service standards and expectations

between public bodies, and between service		
providers and users. Similar charters have been		
developed and agreed to by local governments.		

Legally, the monitoring and evaluation mandate in Local Governments is a responsibility of the Local Government administrative and leadership institutions including the local government councils, sector committees, executive committee, chief administrative office, technical planning committees, etc. Yet, program monitoring is also a technical role embodied in program/project execution frameworks and general service delivery mechanisms of local government.

Table 11: LG M&E Stakeholders and Frameworks Relevant to LGDP M&E strategy

Institution	Framework	Key features
HLG Council	PAF monitoring and accountability	• PAF monitoring and accountability framework provides for quarterly reporting of government expenditure on poverty related conditional grants. It distinguishes between sector specific and political monitoring. However, the system does not yield strong report for internal LG use.
MoFPED and Local government Councils	PBB standardized Reports	• The Program Based Budget tool generates standardized report that can be used by LGs to track performance of expenditure and performance on key LG investment. However, the reports are often produced for upward accountability and not internal use by LGs. The reports also cover only those activities within district budget and not those by development partners in the district.
Sector Line ministries	Sector-based MIS	• Some of MIS for sector line ministries are operationalised through district departments (e.g. health, water, road fund, etc.). These departments produce regular quarterly management report. However, most of these reports are not mainly for internal LG development management functions but for upward accountability.
Different project structures (NAADS, LGMSD, NUSAF, etc)	Project –based monitoring and reporting mechanisms	• Project –based monitoring and reporting mechanisms are as varied as the number of programs and projects running in a specific local government. The mechanisms are normally operated only in the departments running the government programs and projects, and the reports produced by such mechanisms are mainly for upward accountability.

5.4.3 Development of LG M&E System

The LG M&E System comprises the Management Information System (MIS) that are required to be established at higher and lower local government levels and the LG NDP reporting sys- tem. In developing the M&E strategy, local governments are required to link to a standard local government MIS and the national MIS systems.

Capacity will be built in the LGs by the NPA, OPM and the Ministry of Local Government for effective operationalisation of the LGs MIS and the NDP LG reporting system.

5.4.4 Reporting Arrangements

5.4.2.1 Progress Reporting

Local Government reporting requirements will largely include progress reportsquarterly and annual reports.

LGDP Progress reporting shall follow two processes namely; physical progress reporting and budget performance reporting. For physical progress reporting, all LGDP implementing agencies will submit activity progress reports based on the LGDP M&E Reporting Matrix (see appendix...). These will be supplemented by field spot visits to ascertain value for money. On the other hand, Budget performance reports will cover quarterly and annual financial performance (revenue and expenditure) from the government and non-government actors. The local government budget performance will be generated from the computerized output budget tool (OBT).

The combined district quarterly performance reports will be submitted to the NPA, MoFPED, OPM, and line ministries to facilitate national progress reporting by each of these institutions. The NPA will produce a general format for district reporting.

5.4.2.2 Joint Annual LGDP Review

To undertake regular appraisal of the progress across all LGDP activities, each district local government shall conduct annual joint reviews for all local level LGDP stakeholders. The review will be based on the cumulative quarterly performance reports produced by DPUs as well as on the first-hand experiences shared by LGDP implementing agencies. The annual joint review meetings will be organized in May/ June of each FY and will be attended by all key development actors in the district including representatives of Municipal and LLGs, CSOs, FBOs, CBOs, PSO, and selected citizens interest groups (youth groups, women groups, PLAs, PLWD, etc). The LGDP management and coordination budgets for each district should provide for this activity.

5.4.2.3 LGDP Midterm and End of Plan Evaluation

Led by the DPUs, a mid-term review of the LGDP will be conducted two-and-a-half years into the Plan's implementation and it will correspond with the NDP midterm review. The purpose of the mid-term review is to assess progress of LGDP implementation against the set objectives. It is not expected that MTR exercise will come up with new priorities that will effect changes in development priorities included in the 5-year priorities although it will provide opportunity for implementers to communicate emerging situations which may have influenced a re-scheduling of the 5-

year priorities or those which brought g in emergency development interventions. The MTR terms Reference to be issued by the NPA at the start of each MTR exercise will provide further guidance on level of changes that can be expected to come from the MTR

The report of the midterm review will include an assessment of challenges that could have inhibited the implementation of identified priority interventions, document lessons learned to improve implementation of remaining period of the plan and to inform the production of the next LDGP. The report will be presented to the formal HLG leadership and administrative machinery including the DTPC, DEC, and councils. In addition, the report will also be discussed by the joint annual LGDP review meetings. A copy of the LGDP midterm review report will be presented to the NPA, and sector ministries to inform the production of the next NDP and sector development plans.

The LGDP end-of-plan evaluation will be conducted after five years of the Plan's implementation. The purpose of the end-of-plan evaluation is to assess achievement of results and their sustainability. The end-of plan evaluation will assess the overall effectiveness of the LGDP against its objectives and targets, and where possible, it will look at the short term impacts created by plan interventions.

The NPA shall provide technical guidance and backstop the DPU in quality control of end-of plan evaluation reports.

5.4.5 The LGDP Monitoring and Evaluation Reporting Matrix

To facilitate alignment with the NDP monitoring and evaluation framework, LGDPs will adopt the same monitoring and evaluation matrix as that of the NDP. Each LG sector implementing LGDP activities will complete a monitoring and evaluation matrix for the activities under its jurisdiction. The matrix will be the primary guide for implementing the LGDP M&E strategy. The following format (Table 12) has been recommended for the matrix.

Hierarchy of objectives	Results	indicator	Base Year (Yr 0)	Plan Target (Yr 5)
Goal	Final Outcomes			
Objective	Intermediate outcomes			
Intervention	Outputs/immediate outcomes			

Table12: Format of LGDP M&E Framework

CHAPTER SIX 6.0 LGDP COMMUNICATION AND FEEDBACK STRATEGY

An effective Communication strategy is to be an essential element of the LGDP implementation, monitoring and evaluation frameworks. It is crucial that all stakeholders in the LGDP process are adequately informed and mobilized to understand and comply with the objectives, the targeted long-term outcomes and the strategic directions pursued in the NDP. On the other hand, an effective Communication strategy will also serve to actualize the required bottom-up influences presumed in the LGDP conceptual framework where local government priorities are expected to inform the selection of national sector development priorities. Similarly, an effective communication strategy will facilitate the transmission of monitoring and evaluation findings and recommendations to the respective centres where actions can be taken to address the issues that will be raised

6.1 The Importance of an effective Communication and feedback strategy in the LGDP Framework

The LGDP communication strategy should be designed to serve the following purposes:

- Dissemination of local government programmes/ projects and their progress reports to in- form/create awareness amongst the local government population
- Creation of awareness on the expected roles of the stakeholders in the implementation of the local government programmes, including LLGs, CSO, and community members
- Effective management of people's expectations with regard to public services of the local government.
- Strengthening the relationships between the people involved in the planning, implementation and M&E processes.
- Strengthening public ownership of the LG plans
- Enhance accountability and transparency in the implementation of the LG plans.

6.2 Values and Principles Guiding the LGDP Communication and Feedback Arrangements

As a general principle, the LGDP communication strategy should serve the principles and values of the decentralisation policy in Uganda including:

- i. Effective citizen participation and representation;
- ii. Accountability;
- iii. Equity in service delivery;
- iv. Effective management of the totality of state and non-state activities at the local level;
- v. Etc.

6.3 Elements of the Communication Strategy

LGDP formulation at both the HLG and municipal and LLG levels will include a description of the communication strategies that should:

- i. Outline the objective/goals of communication functions within the LGDP implementation process
- ii. Identify stakeholders and assign roles/ responsibilities for implementing the Communication Strategy
- iii. Define key messages
- iv. Identify potential communication methods and vehicles for communicating information for a specific purpose, and specify the mechanisms that will be used to obtain feedback on the strategy

6.4 Grassroots Communication

There should be effective dissemination of LG plans to communities to foster downward accountability to stakeholders. This could be through:

- i. Development of summarized popular versions for communities;
- ii. availing copies to CSO, private sector organizations; or
- iii. dissemination through the LG website; etc.

ANNEXES

Appendix 1: STRUCTURE OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT DEVELOPMENT PLANS FOR HLGS

Cover Page (Logo; Name of Local government; Title of Plan⁶; Vision; Theme). 1 page

Inner Page1 (Map of the district and Map of Uganda showing district location) – 1 page

Inner Page2 (District vision statement; District Mission Statement)- 1 page

Foreword (By the District Chairperson)- 1 page

Acknowledgement (Statement by Chief Executive)- 1 page

Table of Contents (Automatically Generated)

List of Tables - 1 page

List of Figures- 1 page

List of Acronyms - 1 - 2 pages

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY (Summary of district Vision, mission, purpose, Broad development objectives/goals, sector -Specific development objectives, investment priorities, list of unfunded priorities, strategies to finance, implement and coordinate the plan, etc.) 2-3 pages

1.0 INTRODUCTION

- **1.1 Background** (1.1.1 to 1.1.3 maximium 4 pages)
- 1.1.1 Context of the Local Government Development Plan (description of the past and present national/ Local Government development context as well as historical developments / recent experiences that underpin/ inform/ influence the current development plan)
- 1.1.2 Description of the Local Government Development planning process (processes, actors and timeframes / scheduling)
- 1.1.3 Structure of the Local Government Development plan (Arrangement and content of the different sections/chapters comprising the plan)
- 1.2 **District profile** (*Summarized information*, *1.2.2-1.2.5- maximum 4 pages*)
- 1.2.1 Key Geographical information (soils, geophysical features, land use, vegetation, etc. focusing on the extent to which some of the key geographical and natural endowment features /characteristics of a LG have been affected by human activity, etc.)
- 1.2.2 Administrative structure (lower local governments and administrative units comprising the district)
- 1.2.3 Demographic characteristics (population size and structures disaggregated, critical demographic ratios and population densities for a LG or administrative units; labour force analysis; Migration issues analysis; refugee populations for hosting LGs, etc)
- 1.2.4 Natural Endowments (Natural resources and their rate of exploitation)
- 1.2.5 Social –economic infrastructure (*life standards indicators; Local economy analysis; livelihood patterns; human settlement patterns; productive resources and Economic Activities of a LG;* etc.)
- 2.0 SITUATION ANALYSIS (15-20 pages)
- 2.1 Analysis of District Potentials, Opportunities, Constraints and Challenges
- 2.2 Capture key standard development indicators

2.3 Review of Sector Development Situations including constraints (health, education, water and sanitation, etc. & CSO and private sectors)

⁶Local government Development Plan for...... - FY

- 2.4 Analysis of the State of Crosscutting Issues
- 2.5 Review of previous plan performance by sector (*Achievements, unfinished activities and Emerging needs*)
- 2.6 Analysis of urban development

3.0 LGDP STRATEGIC DIRECTION AND PLAN (15-20 pages)

- 3.1 Adaptation of Broad National Strategic Direction and priorities
- 3.2 Adaptation Sector specific strategic Directions and priorities (national)
- 3.3 Spatial Representation of the plan by sector (showing existing/baseline facilities and proposed investments)
- 3.4 LG priorities and results (Sector objectives, outcomes, outcome indicators and targets for the five years)

4.0 LGDP IMPLEMENTATION, COORDINATION AND PARTNERSHIP FRAMEWORK (4 pages)

- 4.1 LGDP Implementation and coordination Strategy
- 4.2 LGDP Institutional Arrangements
- 4.3 LGDP Integration and Partnership Arrangements
- 4.4 Pre-Requisites for Successful LGDP Implementation

5.0 LGDP FINANCING FRAMEWORKS AND STRATEGY (15-20 pages).

- 5.1 Costing of priorities and results (Sector Outcomes, outputs and Targets, annualized costs, sources-GOU, LR, DP, Private Sector)
- 5.2 Summary of funding by source for the five years
- 5.3 Resource mobilization strategy

6.0 LGDP MONITORING AND EVALUATION FRAMEWORK (maximum 5 pages)

- 6.1 LGDP Monitoring and Evaluation Arrangements
- 6.1.1 LGDP Progress Reporting
- 6.1.2 Joint Annual Review of LGDP
- 6.1.3 LGDP Mid -term Evaluation
- 6.1.4 LGDP End of Term Evaluation
- 6.3 LGDP Communication and Feedback Strategy/ Arrangements

7.0 **PROJECT PROFILES**

APPENDICES

Appendix 2: STRUCTURE OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT DEVELOPMENT PLANS FOR LLGS

Cover Page (Logo; Name of Lower Local government; Title of Plan⁷; Vision; Theme)

Inner Page1 (*Map of the LLG; Map of District and Map of Uganda showing LLG and district location*)

Inner Page2 (District vision statement; District Mission Statement)

Foreword (by the LLG Chairperson)

Acknowledgement (*Statement by LLG Chief Executive*)

Table of Contents (Automatically Generated)

List of Tables

List of Figures

List of Acronyms

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY (summary of Broad development objectives/goals, sector -Specific development objectives, investment priorities, list of unfunded priorities, strategies to finance, implement and coordinate the plan, etc.)

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

1.1.1 Context of the Lower Local Government Development Plan (description of the past and present national/ local government development context, strategies, programs as well as historical developments / recent experiences that underpin/ inform/ influence the current development plan)

1.1.2 Description of the Lower Local Government Development planning process (processes, actors and timeframes / scheduling)

1.1.3 Structure of the Lower Local Government Development plan (Arrangement and content of the different sections/chapters comprising the plan)

- 1.2 **LLG Profile** (*Summarized information- maximum 3 pages*)
- 1.2.1 Key Geographical information
- 1.2.2 Administrative infrastructure
- 1.2.3 Demographic characteristics
- 1.2.4 Natural Endowments
- 1.2.5 Social –economic infrastructure

2.0 SITUATION ANALYSIS

- **2.1 Review of Sector Development Situations** (health, education, water and sanitation, etc. & CSO and private sectors)
- 2.2 Analysis of the State of Crosscutting Issues
- 2.3 Analysis of District Potentials, Opportunities, Constraints and Challenges
- **2.4** Review of previous plan performance (Achievements, unfinished activities and Emerging needs)
- **3.0 LGDP STRATEGIC DIRECTION**
- **3.1** Adaptation of Broad National Strategic Direction
- 3.2 Adaptation Sector specific strategic Directions (national)

- 3.3 Adaptation of Relevant National Crosscutting policies/ programs
- 3.4 Broad Local Government Development Outcomes and Goals
- 3.5 Sector Specific Development Outcomes, Outputs, Strategies, and Interventions:
- 3.5.1 Development Outcomes,
- 3.5.2 Development Outputs,
- 3.5.3 Development Strategies
- 3.5.4 Development Interventions
- 3.6 Summary of Sectoral Programs/projects
- 4.0 LLGDP IMPLEMENTATION, CORDINATION AND PARTNERSHIP FRAMEWORK
- 4.1 LLGDP Implementation and coordination Strategy
- 4.2 LLGDP Institutional Arrangements
- 4.3 LLGDP Integration and Partnership Arrangements
- 4.4 Pre-Requisites for Successful LLGDP Implementation
- 5.0 LLGDP FINANCING FRAMEWORKS AND STRATEGY
- **5.1 Overview Of Development Resources And Projections By Source** (name of project, years budgets, Source of funding GoU, HLG, DPs Off budget, Local CSOs, Private sector, Unfunded, Total)
- 5.2 **Resource Mobilisation Strategies**

6.0 MONITORING AND EVALUATION FRAMEWORK

- 6.1 LLGDP Monitoring and Evaluation Arrangements
- 6.1.5 LLGDP Progress Reporting
- 6.1.6 Joint Annual Review of LLGDP
- 6.1.7 LLGDP Mid -term Evaluation
- 6.1.8 LLGDP End of Term Evaluation
- 6.2 LLGDP Monitoring and Evaluation Matrix
- 6.3 LLGDP Communication and Feedback Strategy/ Arrangements
- 7.0 **PROJECT PROFILES**

APPENDICES

Consolidated Results and Resources Framework Annualized work plan

LGDP Results and Reporting Matrix (results, indicators, baseline, annualized targets data sources, frequency)

Results/ Outcome s	Output s	Output indicato r	Base Yr Performa nce	Yr 1 Target	Yr 2 Targe t	Yr 3Targe t	Yr 4 Targe t	Yr 5 Targe t	Data Sourc e	Freq./ periodicit y

Appendix 7: Community / Village Data Collection/ Inventory Tools (By Sector)

(To Be Added)

Appendix 8: Community / Village Proposal Sheets (By Sector)

(To Be Added)

Appendix 9: Parish / Ward Data Collection/ Inventory Tools

(To Be Added)

Appendix 10: Sub-County / Division Data Collection/ Inventory Tools

(To Be Added)

Appendix 11: Parish / Ward Scoring Sheet For Prioritization

(To Be Added)

Appendix 12: Sub-County / Division Scoring Sheet For Prioritization

(To Be Added)

Appendix 3: List Of Critical References That Should Be Consulted By Lgs During Planning Process

(To Be Added)

Appendix 3: FRAMEWORK FOR PROJECT PROFILES FOR HLG AND LLG DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITIES

STRUCTURE OF THE NDPIII PIP									
PROJECT SUMMARY									
Project Title	Let it be as clear as possible, avoiding duplication of names within the MFPED Public Investment Plan.								
NDPIII Program									
Department									
Sector									
Sub sector									
Vote									
Vote Function									
Vote Function Code									
Implementing Agency									
NDP PIP Code									
MFPED PIP Code									
Location									
Estimated Project Cost	Quote figures in UGX and give (in brackets) the exchange rate used where funds are in a foreign currency								
Total expenditure on project related interventions up to start of the next NDP									
Current stage of project implementation at commencement of NDPIII									
Total funding gap	Required budget to complete the project								
Project Duration/Life span (Financial Years)	Date when the project started								
	Date when the project is planned to end								
Officer Responsible									
Already existing in the NDPI	Yes/No								
Already existing in the NDPII	Yes/No								
Already existing in the MFPED PIP	Yes/No								
	PROJECT INTRODUCTION								
Problem Statement	Problem to be addressed								
	Causes of the problem								
Situation Analysis	Past achievements to address the problem (include figures to support the achievements in terms of outputs and budget allocations)								
	Ongoing interventions (include figures to support the achievements of outputs and budget allocations)								
	Challenges								
Relevance of the project idea	Alignment to Vision 2040, NDP, SIPs and Agency plans								
Stakeholders	Direct beneficiaries								
	Indirect beneficiaries								
	Likely project affected persons								
Project objectives/outcomes/outputs	Objectives								

	Outcomes									
	Outputs									
Project inputs/activities/interventions	Inputs									
	Activities									
	Interventions									
	STRATEGIC OPTIONS									
Strategic options (indicate the existing asset, non-asset, and new asset solutions)	Alternative means of solving the problem stating the advantage and disadvantages of each									
	Alternative means of financing stating the advantages and disadvantages of each									
	Comparison of the alternatives, indicate methodologies used in the assessment									
	Selected approach, highlight reasons for the superiority of the proposed approach/project									
Coordination with government agencies	Indicate the roles of other stakeholders respecting legal and policy mandates, embrace integrated planning, define the roles of each agency in project implementation									
PROJEC	CT ANNUALISED TARGETS (OUTPUTS)									
	Actual (2019/2 Output Actual (2019/2 0) 2020/21 2021/22 2022/23 2023/24 2024/25									
	Output 1									
	Output 2 Output									
Project annualized targets	Output 3									
	Output 4									
	Output 5									
	Etc									
ESTIMATEI	PROJECT COST AND FUNDING SOURCES									
Project annualized cost	Outpu Sourc Cum. Yr. Yr. Yr. Yr. Yr. Recurre Capi t e Exp. 1 2 3 4 5 nt (%) tal									

Tiojeet ainitanzed cost	Outpu t	Sourc e	Cum. Exp. upto 2019/2 0	Yr. 1	Yr. 2	Yr. 3	Yr. 4	Yr. 5	Recurre nt (%)	Capi tal (%)
	Output	GOU	U							
	1	Donor								
		OSR								
		NGO								
		PS								
	Output	GOU								
	2	Donor								
		OSR								
		NGO								
		PS								
	Output	GOU								
	3	Donor								

	OSF	ł								
	NGO									
	PS									
	Etc									
	Total									
PLANNED CUMULATIVE	IMPLEME	NATATION	PERCE	NTAGE I	PROGRE	SSION				
		Actual	0000/01	2021/2	0000/00	2023/2	2024/2			
	Output	(2019/20)	2020/21	2	2022/23	4	5			
	Overall project									
	progress									
	(%)									
Percentage progress	Output1									
	Output2									
	Output3									
	Output4									
	Output5									
	Etc									
	RESUL	TS MATRI	X							
	Objective									
	Hierarchy	T. Banka	Means o Verifica		•					
	and Description	Indicato rs	on	ti Basel ne	I Targe	t Acen	mptions			
Results matrix	Goal	15				<u>1 A33u</u>	mptions			
	Outcomes									
	Outputs									
	Activities									
			1			I				

Project Name	Yr 1	Yr 2	Yr 3	Yr 4	Yr 5	GoU budget	LG Budget	Devt Partners off Budget	Unfunded	Total
Sector :										
Sub-Secto	r:									
Project 1										
Project 2										
Project 3										
Project 4										
Project 5										
Etc.										
Sector :	1			1	<u> </u>					
Sub-Secto	or:									
Project 1										
Project 2										
Project 3										
Etc.										

Appendix 4: SUMMARY OF SECTORAL PROGRAMS/PROJECTS

Devt Outputs	Planned Activities	Time	frame				Responsible Parties	Planned Budget		
	(Projects)	Yr 1	Yr 2	Yr 3	Yr 4	Yr 5		Source of Fund	Amount	
Sector :							l			
Sub-Sector 1:										
Output 1										
Output 2										
Output 3										
Total Subsector										
Sub-Sector 2:										
Output 1										
Sector Total										
Overall Total										

Appendix5: ANNUALISED WORK PLAN

Output	Source	Total Cost Summatio n of (1,2,3,4,5)	Annua	lized Estima	ated Cos	ts (UGX)	Budget Cor	Unsecured Fund		
As outlined in the LGDP Results and Report Matrix	All sources		Yr.1	Yr.2	Yr.3	Yr.4	Yr.5	Recurren t	Capital	Total Cost less summation of sources
Output1	GOU									
	Donor									
	OSR									
	NGO									
	PS									
Output2	GOU									
	Donor									
	OSR									
	NGO							r		
	PS									
Output3	GOU									
	Donor									
	OSR									
	NGO									
	PS		ľ –							
Etc										
Tot	al									

Appendix 6: FORMAT OF COST IMPLEMENTATION MATRIX

APPENDIX 7: COMMUNITY / VILLAGE DATA COLLECTION/ INVENTORY TOOLS (BY SECTOR)

(To be added)

APPENDIX 8: COMMUNITY / VILLAGE PROPOSAL SHEETS (BY SECTOR)

(To be added)

APPENDIX 9: PARISH / WARD DATA COLLECTION/ INVENTORY TOOLS

(To be added)

APPENDIX 10: SUB-COUNTY / DIVISION DATA COLLECTION/ INVENTORY TOOLS

(To be added)

APPENDIX 11: PARISH / WARD SCORING SHEET FOR PRIORITIZATION

(To be added)

APPENDIX 12: SUB-COUNTY / DIVISION SCORING SHEET FOR PRIORITIZATION

(To be added)

Appendix 3: LIST OF CRITICAL RERERFENCES THAT SHOULD BE CONSULTED BY LGS DURING PLANNING PROCESS (To be added)